What we’ll cover...

- **Definitions**: Experiments, outcomes, sample space...
- **Interpretations** of probability: *Classical*, *Frequentist*, *Bayesian*
- **Laws** of probability
- Probability **trees**
- The **binomial** distribution
- The **Poisson** distribution
Probability is the language we use to model uncertainty.

- Few things in life are certain
- Usually an element of uncertainty around outcomes of our choices
- This can make all the difference between a good investment and a poor one!
- Hence the importance of probability!
4.1 Definitions

We often use the letter $P$ to represent a probability. For example, $P(Rain)$ would be the probability that it rains.

An **Experiment** is an activity where we do not know for certain what will happen, but we will observe what happens. For example:

- We will ask someone whether or not they have used our product.
- We will observe the temperature at midday tomorrow.
- We will toss a coin and observe whether it shows “heads” or “tails”.
An outcome, or elementary event, is one of the possible things that can happen.

For example, suppose that we are interested in the (UK) shoe size of the next customer to come into a shoe shop. Possible outcomes:

- “eight”
- “twelve”
- “nine and a half”

In any experiment, one, and only one, outcome occurs.
The **sample space** is the set of all possible outcomes. For example, it could be the set of all shoe sizes.

An **event** is a set of outcomes.

For example “the shoe size of the next customer is less than 9” is an event.

It is made up of all of the outcomes where the shoe size is less than 9.
4.1 Definitions

Probabilities are usually expressed in terms of fractions or decimal numbers or percentages.

Therefore we could express the probability of it raining today as

\[ P(\text{Rain}) = \frac{1}{20} = 0.05 = 5\%. \]

All probabilities are measured on a scale ranging from 0 → 1.

- An event with probability zero is an **impossible** event
- An event with probability one is a **certain** event.
- The collection of all possible outcomes, that is the **sample space**, has a probability of 1.

For example, if an event consists of only two outcomes – *success* or *failure* – then \( P(\text{success or failure}) = 1 \).
Two events are said to be **mutually exclusive** if both cannot occur simultaneously: In the example above, the outcomes *success* and *failure* are mutually exclusive.

Two events are said to be **independent** if the occurrence of one does not affect the probability of the second occurring.

For example, if you toss a coin and look out of the window, it would be reasonable to suppose that the events “get heads” and “it is raining” would be independent.
4.2 How do we measure Probability?

There are three main ways in which we can measure probability:

- The **classical** interpretation
- The **frequentist** interpretation
- The **subjective** or **Bayesian** interpretation

All three obey the basic rules described above.

Different people argue in favour of the different views of probability and some will argue that each kind has its uses depending on the circumstances.
If all possible outcomes are “equally likely” then we can adopt the classical approach to measuring probability.

For example, if we tossed a fair coin, there are only two possible outcomes – a head or a tail – both of which are equally likely, and hence

\[ P(Head) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad P(Tail) = \frac{1}{2}. \]
The underlying idea behind this view of probability is **symmetry**.

In this example, there is no reason to think that the outcome *Head* and the outcome *Tail* have different probabilities and so they should have the same probability.

Since there are two outcomes and one of them must occur, both outcomes must have probability \(1/2\).

Generally, \(P(\text{Event}) = \frac{\text{Total number of outcomes in which event occurs}}{\text{Total number of possible outcomes}}\).
When the outcomes of an experiment are not equally likely, we can conduct experiments to give us some idea of how likely the different outcomes are.

For example, suppose we were interested in measuring the probability of producing a defective item in a manufacturing process.

- Monitor the process over a long period
- Calculate the proportion of defective items

What constitutes a “long period of time”? Generally,

\[ P(\text{Event}) = \frac{\text{Number of times an event occurs}}{\text{Total number of times times experiment done}}. \]
We are probably all intuitively familiar with this method of assigning probabilities:

- When we board a plane, we judge the probability of it crashing to be sufficiently small that we are happy to undertake the journey.

- Similarly, the odds given by bookmakers on a horse race reflect people’s beliefs about which horse will win.

This probability does not fit within the frequentist definition as the same race cannot be run a large number of times.
Example 4.1

A fast–food chain with 700 outlets describes the geographic location of its restaurants with the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Under 10,000</th>
<th>10,000–100,000</th>
<th>Over 100,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 10,000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000–100,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 100,000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A health and safety organisation selects a restaurant at random for a hygiene inspection.

(a) Which of the three approaches to probability would you use to find:

(i) \( P(\text{NE restaurant chosen}) \),

(ii) \( P(\text{SW and city with a population < 100,000}) \)?

(b) Now use this approach to find the probabilities given above.
Example 4.1: Solution to part (a)

A restaurant is chosen at random – all outcomes are equally likely – so **classical**.

*Not that important, really...*
Example 4.1: Solution to part (b)(i)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Under 10,000</th>
<th>10,000–100,000</th>
<th>Over 100,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 10,000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000–100,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 100,000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
P(\text{NE restaurant chosen}) = \frac{35 + 70 + 175}{700} = \frac{280}{700} = 0.4
\]
Example 4.1: Solution to part (b)(ii)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>NW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000–100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
P(\text{SW and city with a population < 100,000}) = \frac{21 + 84}{700} = 0.15
\]
4.3.1 Laws of Probability: Multiplication law

The probability of two **independent** events $E_1$ and $E_2$ both occurring can be written as

$$P(E_1 \text{ and } E_2) = P(E_1) \times P(E_2),$$

and this is known as the **multiplication law** of probability.

For example, the probability of throwing a six followed by another six on two rolls of a die is calculated as follows:

- The outcomes of the two rolls of the die are **independent**
- Let $E_1$ denote a six on the first roll and $E_2$ a six on the second roll.

$$P(\text{two sixes}) = P(E_1 \text{ and } E_2) = P(E_1) \times P(E_2) = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{36}.$$
4.3.2 Laws of probability: Addition Law

The multiplication law is concerned with the probability of two or more independent events occurring.

The **addition law** describes the probability of any of two or more events occurring.

The addition law for two events $E_1$ and $E_2$ is

$$P(E_1 \text{ or } E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) - P(E_1 \text{ and } E_2).$$

This describes the probability of *either* event $E_1$ *or* event $E_2$ happening.
A more basic version of the rule works where events are **mutually exclusive**.

If events $E_1$ and $E_2$ are mutually exclusive then

$$P(E_1 \text{ or } E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2).$$

This simplification occurs because when two events are mutually exclusive they cannot happen together and so $P(E_1 \text{ and } E_2) = 0$. 
This example can be represented as a tree diagram in which experiments are represented by circles (called nodes) and the outcomes of the experiments as branches:
Example 4.3

A machine is used to produce components. Each time it produces a component there is a chance that the component will be defective.

When the machine is working correctly the probability that a component is defective is 0.05. Sometimes, though, the machine requires adjustment and, when this is the case, the probability that a component is defective is 0.2.

At the time in question there is a probability of 0.1 that the machine requires adjustment.
Solution to Example 4.3

- Working correctly: 0.9
- Needs adjusting: 0.1
Solution to Example 4.3
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Solution to Example 4.3

Working correctly needs adjusting Not defective
Defective

Not defective
Defective

needs adjusting
Not defective
Defective

0.9
0.1
0.95
0.05
0.8
0.2
0.8
0.2
Components produced by the machine are tested and either accepted or rejected. A component which is not defective is accepted with probability 0.97 or (falsely) rejected with probability 0.03.

A defective component is (falsely) accepted with probability 0.15 and rejected with probability 0.85.
Solution to Example 4.3
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Solution to Example 4.3

Working correctly

- Not defective: 0.9
- Defective: 0.1

Needs adjusting

- Not defective: 0.8
- Defective: 0.2
Welcome back!

- **My name:** Lee Fawcett
- **Where you can find me:** 2.07, 2nd floor Herschel
- **What I do:** Teach Stats

**This semester:**

- Lecture every Friday, 12–1, Herschel LT1
- Workshops the following week – new days/times!
- All compulsory; bring your notes, stationary and calculators!
- Four assignments: Two CBAs, one case study and one computing assignment using Minitab
- See webpage:
  
  www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~nlf8/teaching/acc1012
Suppose we are interested in the **number of sixes** we get from 3 rolls of a die.

Each roll of the die is an experiment or trial which gives a **“six”** (success, or \(s\)) or **“not a six”** (failure, or \(f\)).

The probability of a success is \(p = P(\text{six}) = 1/6\).

We have \(n = 3\) **independent** experiments or trials (rolls of the die).
Let $X$ be the number of sixes obtained.

We can now obtain the full probability distribution of $X$; a probability distribution is a list of all the possible outcomes for $X$ with along with their associated probabilities.
For example, suppose we want to work out the probability of obtaining three sixes: (three “successes” — i.e. $sss$ — or $P(X = 3)$).

Since the rolls of the die can be considered independent, we get (using the multiplication law):

$$
P(sss) = P(s) \times P(s) \times P(s) = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3$$
That one’s easy!

What about the probability that we get two sixes — i.e. $P(X = 2)$?

This one’s a bit more tricky, because that means we need two $s$’s and one $f$...

...but the $f$ (“not six”) could appear on the first roll, or the second roll, or the third!

Thinking about it, there are actually eight possible outcomes for the three rolls of the die:
4.5 The binomial distribution

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(\frac{1}{6})^3} \\
\text{(\frac{1}{6})^2(\frac{5}{6})} \\
\text{(\frac{1}{6})^2(\frac{5}{6})^2} \\
\text{(\frac{1}{6})(\frac{5}{6})^2} \\
\text{(\frac{5}{6})^3}
\end{align*}
\]
So, for $P(X = 2)$, we could have:

$$P(fss) = \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6},$$

or we could have:

$$P(sfss) = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6},$$

or even:

$$P(ssf) = \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6},$$
Can you see that we therefore get:

\[ P(X = 2) = 3 \times \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6}. \]

Which takes the form:

\[ P(X = 2) = \text{Number of ways to get two sixes} \times P(2 \text{ sixes}) \times P(1 \text{ “not six”}). \]
4.5 The binomial distribution

Using the same argument as above we can calculate the other probabilities:

\[ P(X = 0) = \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^3 = 0.579 \]

\[ P(X = 1) = 3 \times \left( \frac{1}{6} \right) \times \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^2 = 0.347 \]

\[ P(X = 2) = 3 \times \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0.069 \]

\[ P(X = 3) = \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^3 = 0.005... \]
... and so the full **probability distribution** for $X$ is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P(X = x)$</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This probability distribution shows that most of the time we would get either 0 or 1 sixes and, for example, 3 sixes would be quite rare.

Try your own experiment!

Minitab
Now this is a bit long-winded . . . and that was just for three rolls of the die!

Imagine what it would be like to calculate for 100 rolls of the die!

We would like a more concise way of working these probabilities out without having to list all the possible outcomes as we did above.
You should see from the tree diagram that we can construct a **general formula**, taking the form:

\[ P(X = r) = \text{# ways to get } r \text{ successes out of } n \text{ trials} \]

\[ \times P(r \text{ successes}) \times P(n - r \text{ failures}) \]
In many surveys and experiments data is collected in the form of **counts**. For example:

- The number of people in the survey who bought a CD in the past month
- The number of people who said they would vote Labour at the next election
- The number of defective items in a sample taken from a production line
All these variables have common features:

1. Each person/item has only two possible (exclusive) responses (Yes/No, Defective/Not defective etc) — this is referred to as a trial which results in a success or failure.

2. The survey/experiment takes the form of a random sample — the responses are independent.

3. The probability of a success in each trial is $p$ (in which case the probability of a failure is $1 - p$).

4. We are interested in the random variable $X$, the total number of successes out of $n$ trials.
If these conditions are met, then $X$ has a **binomial distribution** with index $n$ and probability $p$.

We write this as $X \sim Bin(n, p)$, which reads as “$X$ has a binomial distribution with index $n$ and probability $p$”.

Here, $n$ and $p$ are known as the **parameters** of the binomial distribution.
4.5.1 The binomial distribution: notation

Returning to the dice-rolling experiment earlier: we were interested in the number of sixes obtained from three rolls of a six-sided die.

Treating each roll of the die as a trial, with a six representing a success and “not a six” representing a failure, we can see that:

- We have $n = 3$ independent trials;
- Each trial has probability of success $p = 1/6$.

Thus if $X$ represents the number of sixes on the three rolls, we have that

$$X \sim Bin(3, 1/6).$$
Recall our derived formula for working out probabilities in the die example:

\[ P(X = r) = \text{# ways to get } r \text{ successes out of } n \text{ trials} \]

\[ \times P(r \text{ successes}) \times P(n - r \text{ failures}). \]

We can write this more succinctly as

\[ P(X = r) = \binom{n}{r} \times p^r \times (1 - p)^{n-r}. \]
4.5.2 Probability calculations

\[ P(X = r) = \binom{n}{r} \times p^r \times (1 - p)^{n-r}. \]

The binomial coefficient \( \binom{n}{r} \) works out how many ways we can choose \( r \) objects out of \( n \), and so is commonly read as “\( n \) choose \( r \)”.

There is a formula for this, but it is more easily obtained using the \( nCr \) button on the calculator!
4.5.2 Probability calculations

\[ P(X = r) = \binom{n}{r} \times p^r \times (1 - p)^{n-r}. \]

The expression for \( P(X = 2) \) in the die rolling example can be calculated using this formula.

In this example,
- \( n = 3 \)
- \( r = 2 \)
- The probability of success is \( p = \frac{1}{6} \)
- The probability of failure is \( 1 - p = \frac{5}{6} \).
4.5.2 Probability calculations

So,

\[ P(X = 2) = \binom{3}{2} \times \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{6} \right)^{3-2} \]

\[ = 3 \times \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \times \frac{5}{6} \]

\[ = 0.069, \]

which matches up exactly with what we calculated before (as it should)!

You should try this formula for \( r = 0, 1 \) and 3 to make sure you can recover the other values in the table on page 108.
4.5.3 Mean and variance

If we have the probability distribution for $X$ rather than the raw observations:

- We denote the mean for $X$ not by $\bar{x}$ but by $E[X]$ (“expectation of $X$”)

- We denote the variance by $Var(X)$, and the standard deviation by $SD(X)$

If $X$ is a random variable with Binomial $Bin(n, p)$ distribution, then its mean and variance are

$$E[X] = n \times p \quad Var(X) = n \times p \times (1 - p).$$
For example, in the die–rolling experiment,

\[ E[X] = 3 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0.5 \]

and

\[ \text{Var}(X) = 3 \times \frac{1}{6} \times \frac{5}{6} = 0.417 \]

and so

\[ SD(X) = \sqrt{0.417} = 0.645. \]
A salesperson has a 40% chance of making a sale on a customer visit and she arranges 6 visits in a day. Suppose that the salesperson’s visits result in sales independently.

(a) What are the probabilities of her making 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 sales?

(b) Find the salesperson’s expected number of sales. What is the standard deviation?
Solution to Example 4.4 (a)

Let $X$: number of sales. Then we have that

$$X \sim Bin(6, 0.4)$$

Thus

$$P(X = 0) = \binom{6}{0} \times 0.4^0 \times 0.6^6 = 0.047$$
$$P(X = 1) = \binom{6}{1} \times 0.4^1 \times 0.6^5 = 0.187$$
$$P(X = 2) = \binom{6}{2} \times 0.4^2 \times 0.6^4 = 0.311$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$P(X = 6) = \binom{6}{6} \times 0.4^6 \times 0.6^0 = 0.004.$$
Solution to Example 4.4 (b)

If $X \sim Bin(n, p)$,

- $E[X] = n \times p$ and
- $Var(X) = n \times p \times (1 - p)$,

giving

$$E[X] = 6 \times 0.4 = 2.4 \text{ sales.}$$

Also,

$$Var(X) = 6 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 = 1.44$$

so

$$SD(X) = \sqrt{1.44} = 1.2 \text{ sales.}$$
The **Poisson distribution** is a very important discrete probability distribution which arises in many different contexts.

Typically, Poisson random quantities are used in place of binomial random quantities in situations where $n$ is large, $p$ is small, and both $np$ and $n(1 - p)$ exceed 5.

In general, it is used to model data which are counts of (random) events in a certain area or time interval, without a known/fixed upper limit but with a known **rate** of occurrence.
For example, consider the number of calls made in a 1 minute interval to an Internet service provider (ISP).

The ISP has thousands of subscribers, but each one will call with a very small probability.

If the ISP knows that on average 5 calls will be made in the interval, the actual number of calls will be a Poisson random variable, with mean 5.
If $X$ is a random variable with a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda$ (Greek lower case “lambda”), then the probability it takes different values is

$$P(X = r) = \frac{\lambda^r e^{-\lambda}}{r!}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

We write this as $X \sim Po(\lambda)$. The parameter $\lambda$ has a very simple interpretation as the rate at which events occur.
The Poisson distribution has mean and variance

\[ E(X) = \lambda, \quad Var(X) = \lambda. \]
Returning to the ISP example, suppose we want to know the probabilities of different numbers of calls made to the ISP.

Let $X$ be the number of calls made in a minute. Then $X \sim Po(5)$ and, for example, the probability of receiving 4 calls is

$$P(X = 4) = \frac{5^4 e^{-5}}{4!} = 0.1755.$$ 

Similarly, the probability of receiving 7 calls is

$$P(X = 7) = \frac{5^7 e^{-5}}{7!} = 0.1044.$$
4.6 The Poisson distribution

We can use the formula for Poisson probabilities to calculate the probability of all possible outcomes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  r & P(X = r) & P(X \leq r) \\
  0 & & \\
  1 & & \\
  2 & & \\
  3 & & \\
  4 & & 0.1755 \\
  5 & & \\
  6 & & \\
  7 & & 0.1044 \\
  8 & & \\
 \vdots & & \\
 \text{sum} & & 1.000000 \\
\end{array}
\]

Therefore the probability of receiving between 2 and 8 calls is

\[
P(2 \leq X \leq 8) = P(X \leq 8) - P(X \leq 1) = 0.9319 - 0.0404 = 0.8915.
\]
We can use the formula for Poisson probabilities to calculate the probability of all possible outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P(X = r)$</th>
<th>$P(X \leq r)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1462</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the probability of receiving between 2 and 8 calls is $P(2 \leq X \leq 8) = P(X \leq 8) - P(X \leq 1) = 0.9319 - 0.0404 = 0.8915$. 
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4.6 The Poisson distribution

We can use the formula for Poisson probabilities to calculate the probability of all possible outcomes:

\[
P(X = r) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^r}{r!}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P(X = r)$</th>
<th>$P(X \leq r)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1403</td>
<td>0.2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td>0.4405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td>0.6160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1462</td>
<td>0.7622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td>0.8666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
<td>0.9319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the probability of receiving between 2 and 8 calls is

\[
P(2 \leq X \leq 8) = P(X \leq 8) - P(X \leq 1) = 0.8915
\]
We can use the formula for Poisson probabilities to calculate the probability of all possible outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$P(X = r)$</th>
<th>$P(X \leq r)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1403</td>
<td>0.2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td>0.4405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td>0.6160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1462</td>
<td>0.7622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td>0.8666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
<td>0.9319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the probability of receiving between 2 and 8 calls is
We can use the formula for Poisson probabilities to calculate the probability of all possible outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r</th>
<th>$P(X = r)$</th>
<th>$P(X \leq r)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td><strong>0.0404</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>0.0843</strong></td>
<td>0.1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>0.1403</strong></td>
<td>0.2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>0.1755</strong></td>
<td>0.4405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>0.1755</strong></td>
<td>0.6160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>0.1462</strong></td>
<td>0.7622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>0.1044</strong></td>
<td>0.8666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>0.0653</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.9319</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore the probability of receiving between 2 and 8 calls is

$$P(2 \leq X \leq 8) = P(X \leq 8) - P(X \leq 1) = 0.9319 - 0.0404 = 0.8915$$
4.6 The Poisson distribution

Probability calculations such as this enable ISPs to calibrate the likely demand for their service and hence the resources they need to provide the service.

Using such a model we can also account for “extreme” situations.

For example, suppose that, for this ISP, we observed the following number of calls per minute over a five minute period: 6, 3, 5, 4, 6.

Using simple frequentist reasoning, we would have

\[ P(7 \text{ calls made}) = \frac{0}{5} = 0, \]

i.e. we will never observe seven calls in any one minute period!
4.6 The Poisson distribution

However, using the Poisson model, we have

\[ P(X = 7) = 0.1044, \]

which is probably more realistic.

You can see from the previous table of probabilities that, although when \( r \) is large the associated probabilities are small, at least they are accounted for and are, more realistically, non-zero.
Binomial: $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$

- $n$ is the number of “trials”
- $p$ is the “success” probability
- Each trial must have two possible outcomes (“success” and “failure”)
- Each trial must be independent of every other trial
- Since $n$ is known, there is a known upper limit to the random variable
Poisson: $X \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$

- $\lambda$ is the “rate of occurrence”
- Often used when we are counting something over a fixed time interval
- The value of $\lambda$ is constant across time
- We usually don’t know the upper limit of the random variable
## Key formulae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$P(X = r)$</th>
<th>Expectation</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binomial</td>
<td>$\binom{n}{r} p^r (1 - p)^{n-r}$</td>
<td>$np$</td>
<td>$np(1 - p)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>$\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^r}{r!}$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 4.5

*Pronto Pizzeria* have recently opened a new restaurant in Newcastle. They have noticed that, during any one hour period on a Saturday night, they usually see about eight parties of customers arrive.

(a) What probability distribution might be appropriate for $X$, the number of parties that arrive between 7pm and 8pm this Saturday evening?

(b) What is the probability that, between 7pm and 8pm this Saturday, at least three parties of customers arrive at the restaurant?

(c) The management are considering a Half Price Happy Hour Menu between 6pm and 7pm every Saturday night. Why might the model you proposed in part (a) no longer be valid?
Poisson distribution – we have a rate (8 per hour), and we do not know the upper limit for the number of parties.

If \( X \): Number of parties arriving in a one hour period. then

\[ X \sim Po(8). \]
Solution to Example 4.5(b)

\[ P(X \geq 3) = 1 - P(X \leq 2) \]
\[ = 1 - \{ P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) + P(X = 2) \} \]
\[ = 1 - \left\{ \frac{e^{-8} \cdot 0^0}{0!} + \frac{e^{-8} \cdot 1^1}{1!} + \frac{e^{-8} \cdot 2^2}{2!} \right\} \]
\[ = 1 - \{0.0003 + 0.0027 + 0.0107\} \]
\[ = 0.9863. \]
We might expect more people to come to the restaurant during the Happy Hour – therefore we cannot assume the same rate of occurrence.

Specifically, we might expect $\lambda > 8$. 
Consider the following two scenarios:

**Scenario 1**

*RyanJet* currently leads all European airlines in on–time arrivals, with 82.5% of its flights arriving on time or earlier. Of the next 6 scheduled *RyanJet* flights, $X$ is the number that will land on time.

**Scenario 2**

Customer bookings on the *RyanJet* website occur at a rate of 20 per hour. Due to a technical problem, the *RyanJet* website will be down for maintenance between 17:30 and 18:00 this evening. We are interested in $Y$, the number of bookings we can expect *RyanJet* to lose, because of this website down–time.
1. Would you use the binomial distribution or the Poisson distribution to model the scenarios outlined above?

In each case explain why, and state fully the most appropriate distribution, including the values of the associated parameters.
Example 4.6: Solution (1/2)

Scenario 1 – Binomial distribution

- Finite number of trials \((n = 6)\)
- Each trial has two outcomes (success = land on time, failure = doesn’t land on time)
- We know that \(p = 0.825\)
- Assuming independence, we have \(X \sim \text{Bin}(6, 0.825)\).
Scenario 2 – Poisson distribution

- Don’t know the upper bound for $Y$
- We have a rate of occurrence: $\lambda = 20$ per hour
- Assuming a constant rate, we have $Y \sim \text{Po}(10)$
2. Find the expectation, and standard deviation, of the number of on–time flights of the next 6 scheduled *RyanJet* flights.

Also find the expected number of lost *RyanJet* bookings between 17:30 and 18:00, with the associated standard deviation.
Example 4.6: Solution (1/1)

Since $X \sim \text{Bin}(6, 0.825)$, then

- $E[X] = n \times p = 6 \times 0.825 = 4.95$ flights.
- $\text{Var}(X) = n \times p \times (1 - p) = 6 \times 0.825 \times 0.175 = 0.86625$. Thus, $SD(X) = \sqrt{0.86625} = 0.931$ flights.

Since $Y \sim \text{Po}(10)$, then

- $E[Y] = \lambda = 10$ bookings.
- $\text{Var}(Y) = \lambda = 10$. Thus $SD(Y) = \sqrt{10} = 3.162$ bookings.
3. Find the probability that, of RyanJet’s next six flights, exactly 4 arrive on time.
We have $X \sim \text{Bin}(6, 0.825)$. So

$$P(X = 4) = \binom{6}{4} \times 0.825^4 \times 0.175^2$$

$$= 15 \times 0.4632504 \times 0.030625$$

$$= 0.2128.$$
4. Find the probability that *RyanJet* will lose

(a) exactly 8 bookings;

(b) more than 2 bookings,

during their website down–time.
We know that $Y \sim \text{Po}(10)$. So

$$P(Y = 8) = \frac{e^{-10} \times 10^8}{8!}$$

$$= 0.1126$$
Similarly,

\[ P(Y > 2) = P(Y = 3) + P(Y = 4) + \cdots \]
\[ = 1 - \{P(Y = 0) + P(Y = 1) + P(Y = 2)\} \]
\[ = 1 - \left\{ \frac{e^{-10}10^0}{0!} + \frac{e^{-10}10^1}{1!} + \frac{e^{-10}10^2}{2!} \right\} \]
\[ = 1 - \{0.000045 + 0.00045 + 0.0023\} \]
\[ = 0.9972. \]
5. It is decided to move the website down-time to 03:00–03:30.

Why might the model you proposed in question 1 no longer be appropriate?
The rate will probably change – surely, the number of bookings made in the middle of the night will not be the same as at other times of the day.
Example 4.7

When a customer places an order with *Staples Online Office Supplies*, a computerised accounting information system automatically checks to see if the customer has exceeded his or her credit limit.

Let $X$ be the number of customers who have exceeded their credit limit.

In a random sample of $n$ orders, we can expect 1 customer to have exceeded their credit limit, with a variance of 0.95.
1. Would the binomial or the Poisson distributions be most appropriate to model $X$?
The **Binomial distribution** – as we have a finite number of orders \((n)\), and each trial has two outcomes:

- success (exceeded credit limit)
- failure (does not exceed credit limit)

We can also assume independence between trials, as we have a random sample of orders.
2. Find the parameters of the model you proposed in question 1, and hence find the probability that at least 1 customer, of the next $n$ orders placed, exceeds their credit limit.
We are told that $E[X] = 1$; therefore,

$$n \times p = 1,$$

and so

$$n = \frac{1}{p}.$$

We are also told that $Var(X) = 0.95$; therefore,

$$n \times p \times (1 - p) = 0.95$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{p} \times p \times (1 - p) = 0.95$$

$$1 - p = 0.95$$

$$p = 0.05.$$
Substituting $p = 0.05$ into the expression for the expectation gives

$$n = \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{0.05} = 20.$$  

So we have $X \sim \text{Bin}(20, 0.05)$.  

Now we can find

\[ P(X \geq 1) = 1 - P(X = 0) \]
\[ = 1 - \binom{20}{0} \times 0.05^0 \times 0.95^{20} \]
\[ = 1 - 0.3585 \]
\[ = 0.6415. \]