Introduction to Pure Mathematics.

- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures:

whatever is on slides is also in the booklet, what is written on the blackboard during lectures ma

be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures:

whatever is on slides is also in the booklet, what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures:

whatever is on slides is also in the booklet, what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures: whatever is on slides is also in the booklet.

what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures: whatever is on slides is also in the booklet, what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not be.
- The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures:

whatever is on slides is also in the booklet,

what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/ from where they can be viewed or printed out.

- Introduction to Pure Mathematics.
- Main theme the notion of proof.
- The booklet contains blanks which you fill in during the lectures:

whatever is on slides is also in the booklet, what is written on the blackboard during lectures may not

be.

The notes and other course information can be found on the web at

```
www.mas.ncl.ac.uk/~najd2/teaching/mas1202/
from where they can be viewed or printed out.
```

A puzzle

Move forward to a time after the collapse of the banking system when we have returned to bartering. In the university 1 loaf of bread can be exchanged for 11 apples and a chocolate cake can be exchanged for 15 apples. A professor has baked a batch of cakes and a student turns out to have a dozen loaves of bread and hundreds of apples. The professor wants just one apple, so would like to exchange some cakes for one apple and some loaves of bread. Can this be done, and if so how?

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66

Is there more than one solution? We can describe the problem algebraically. Let *a*, *b* and *c* and stand for the value of an **a**pple, a **c**ake and a loaf of **b**read, respectively. Then c = 15a and b = 11a.

Is there more than one solution? We can describe the problem algebraically. Let *a*, *b* and *c* and stand for the value of an apple, a cake and a loaf of bread, respectively. Then c = 15a and b = 11a.

・ロト ・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66

Is there more than one solution? We can describe the problem algebraically. Let *a*, *b* and *c* and stand for the value of an **a**pple, a **c**ake and a loaf of **b**read, respectively. Then c = 15a and b = 11a.

More barterning

Now suppose that at bottle of French wine is worth 30 apples and a bottle of English wine is worth 24 apples. A lecturer has a crate of french wine and some apples and the professor now wants 6 apples, but only has a crate of English wine. Can a fair transaction be made so that the prof ends up with 6 apples?

We can describe the problem algebraically again. Let f and e stand for the values of **F**rench and **E**nglish wine, respectively. Solve to find whole numbers x and y which are both positive.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	39	30
31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6). Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6).Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6).Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6).Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a

solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6).Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

The crucial feature of these problems are that we are only interested in solutions which are natural numbers (defined in Section A.6).Solutions would be very easy to find if we allowed ourselves to use rational numbers or real numbers (see Section A.6).

On the other hand integer solutions are no easier to find than natural number solutions (integers are also defined in Section A.6).

This chapter looks into some of the properties of natural numbers and integers that, among other things, prove useful in solving problems such as the bartering ones above.We'll look at a a step by step recipe which would give us a number, like 6 in the second problem above, which can be used to simplify the problem and in fact determines whether or not there is a solution. We shall investigate, in some detail, how and why this works.

What is the biggest positive number that divides both 24 and 30? Make two lists.

Positive divisors of 24 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 Positive divisors of 30 : 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30

Pick the largest number which appears on both of the lists, which is 6.

What is the biggest positive number that divides both 24 and 30?

Make two lists.

Positive divisors of 24 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24

Positive divisors of 30 : 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30

Pick the largest number which appears on both of the lists, which is 6.

What is the biggest positive number that divides both 24 and 30?

Make two lists.

Positive divisors of 24 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 Positive divisors of 30 : 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30

Pick the largest number which appears on both of the lists, which is 6.

Example 1.1 Find the biggest number which divides both 2028 and 2600. Positive divisors of

2028 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 26, 39, 52, 78, 156, 169, 338, 507, 676, 1014, 2028

2600 : 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 20, 25, 26, 40, 50, 52, 65, 100, 104, 130, 200, 260,325, 520, 650, 1300, 2600

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Find the biggest number which divides both 2028 and 2600. Positive divisors of

2028 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 26, 39, 52, 78, 156, 169, 338, 507, 676, 1014, 2028

2600 : 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 20, 25, 26, 40, 50, 52, 65, 100, 104, 130, 200, 260,325, 520, 650, 1300, 2600

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Find the biggest number which divides both 2028 and 2600. Positive divisors of

2028 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 26, 39, 52, 78, 156, 169, 338, 507, 676, 1014, 2028

2600 : 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 20, 25, 26, 40, 50, 52, 65, 100, 104, 130, 200, 260,325, 520, 650, 1300, 2600

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Find the biggest number which divides both 2028 and 2600. Positive divisors of

2028 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 26, 39, 52, 78, 156, 169, 338, 507, 676, 1014, 2028

2600 : 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 20, 25, 26, 40, 50, 52, 65, 100, 104, 130, 200, 260,325, 520, 650, 1300, 2600

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

EA1

Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.

EA2.

Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.

EA3

EA4.

If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.

Replace the ordered pair (b, a) with (a, r). Repeat from EA2.

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

- **EA1.** Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.
- **EA2.** Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.

- **EA3.** If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.
- **EA4.** Replace the ordered pair (*b*, *a*) with (*a*, *r*). Repeat from EA2.

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

- **EA1.** Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.
- **EA2.** Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.

- **EA3.** If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.
- **EA4.** Replace the ordered pair (b, a) with (a, r). Repeat from EA2.

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

- **EA1.** Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.
- **EA2.** Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.
- **EA3.** If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.
- **EA4.** Replace the ordered pair (*b*, *a*) with (*a*, *r*). Repeat from EA2.

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

- **EA1.** Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.
- **EA2.** Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.

EA3. If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.

EA4. Replace the ordered pair (b, a) with (a, r). Repeat from EA2.

The biggest natural number which divides both natural numbers *a* and *b* is called the **greatest common divisor** of *a* and *b*.

Given natural numbers *a* and *b* we wish to find their greatest common divisor.

The recipe works as follows.

- **EA1.** Input the pair (b, a), with 0 < a < b.
- **EA2.** Write b = aq + r, where q and r are integers with $0 \le r < a$.

- **EA3.** If r = 0 then output gcd(a, b) = a and stop.
- **EA4.** Replace the ordered pair (b, a) with (a, r). Repeat from EA2.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 12 and 63. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 12x + 63y = d.



Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (2600,2028) & 2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572 & (1.1) \\ (1.2) \\ (1.3) \\ (1.4) \\ (1.5) \end{array}$

This gives gcd(2600, 2028) = 52, as we found in Example 1.1.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (2600,2028) & 2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572 & (1.1) \\ (1.2) & (1.3) \\ (1.4) & (1.5) \end{array}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・
 </p

This gives gcd(2600, 2028) = 52, as we found in Example 1.1.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

(2600,2028)	$2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572$	(1.1)
(2028,572)	$2028 = 572 \cdot 3 + 312$	(1.2)
		(1.3)
		(1.4)
		(1.5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

This gives gcd(2600, 2028) = 52, as we found in Example 1.1.
Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

(2600,2028)	$2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572$	(1.1)
(2028,572)	$2028 = 572 \cdot 3 + 312$	(1.2)
(572,312)	$572 = 312 \cdot 1 + 260$	(1.3)
		(1.4)
		(1.5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

(2600,2028)	$2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572$	(1.1)
(2028,572)	$2028 = 572 \cdot 3 + 312$	(1.2)
(572,312)	$572 = 312 \cdot 1 + 260$	(1.3)
(312,260)	$312 = 260 \cdot 1 + 52$	(1.4)
		(1.5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

(2600,2028)	$2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572$	(1.1)
(2028,572)	$2028 = 572 \cdot 3 + 312$	(1.2)
(572,312)	$572 = 312 \cdot 1 + 260$	(1.3)
(312,260)	$312 = 260 \cdot 1 + 52$	(1.4)
(260,52)	$260 = 52 \cdot 5 + 0.$	(1.5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2600 and 2028. Find integers *x* and *y* such that d = 2600x + 2028y.

We write out the results of Step EA2 as the algorithm runs:

(2600,2028)	$2600 = 2028 \cdot 1 + 572$	(1.1)
(2028,572)	$2028 = 572 \cdot 3 + 312$	(1.2)
(572,312)	$572 = 312 \cdot 1 + 260$	(1.3)
(312,260)	$312 = 260 \cdot 1 + 52$	(1.4)
(260,52)	$260 = 52 \cdot 5 + 0.$	(1.5)

 $52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$ from (1.4) = 312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572 from (1.3) = (2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7 from (1.2) = 2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7 from (1.1). Thus 52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9 so we may take x = -7 and

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Thus $52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9$ so we may take x = -7 and y = 9.

 $52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$ from (1.4) = 312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572 from (1.3) = (2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7 from (1.1). Thus 52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9 so we may take x = -7 and

y = 9.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$ from (1.4) = 312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572 from (1.3) = (2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7 from (1.2) = 2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7 from (1.1). Thus 52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9 so we may take x = -7 and

$$52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$$
 from (1.4)
= $312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572$ from (1.3)
= $(2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7$ from (1.2)
= $2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7$ from (1.1).
Thus $52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9$ so we may take $x = -7$ and

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● ● ●

y = 9.

$$52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$$
 from (1.4)
= $312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572$ from (1.3)
= $(2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7$ from (1.2)
= $2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7$ from (1.1).

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● ● ●

Thus $52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9$ so we may take x = -7 and y = 9.

$$52 = 312 - 260 \cdot 1$$
 from (1.4)
= $312 - (572 - 312 \cdot 1) = 312 \cdot 2 - 572$ from (1.3)
= $(2028 - 572 \cdot 3) \cdot 2 - 572 = 2028 \cdot 2 - 572 \cdot 7$ from (1.2)
= $2028 \cdot 2 - (2600 - 2028 \cdot 1) \cdot 7 = 2028 \cdot 9 - 2600 \cdot 7$ from (1.1).
Thus $52 = 2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9$ so we may take $x = -7$ and

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● ● ●

y = 9.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.



This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 → ���

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (2028,626) & 2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150 & (1.6) \\ & (1.7) \\ & (1.8) \\ & (1.9) \\ & (1.10) \\ & (1.11) \end{array}$

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
		(1.8)
		(1.9)
		(1.10)
		(1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
(150,26)	$150 = 26 \cdot 5 + 20$	(1.8)
		(1.9)

(1.10) (1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 → ���

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
(150,26)	$150 = 26 \cdot 5 + 20$	(1.8)
(26,20)	$26 = 20 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.9)
		(1.10)

(1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
(150,26)	$150 = 26 \cdot 5 + 20$	(1.8)
(26,20)	$26 = 20 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.9)
(20,6)	$20 = 6 \cdot 3 + 2$	(1.10)
		(1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
(150,26)	$150 = 26 \cdot 5 + 20$	(1.8)
(26,20)	$26 = 20 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.9)
(20,6)	$20 = 6 \cdot 3 + 2$	(1.10)
(6,2)	$6 = 2 \cdot 3 + 0.$	(1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Find the greatest common divisor *d* of 2028 and 626. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 2028x - 626y = d.

(2028,626)	$2028 = 626 \cdot 3 + 150$	(1.6)
(626,150)	$626 = 150 \cdot 4 + 26$	(1.7)
(150,26)	$150 = 26 \cdot 5 + 20$	(1.8)
(26,20)	$26 = 20 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.9)
(20,6)	$20 = 6 \cdot 3 + 2$	(1.10)
(6,2)	$6=2\cdot3+0.$	(1.11)

This gives gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

 $2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$ from (1.10)

 $2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$ from (1.10)

 $2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$ from (1.10) = 20 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot (26 \cdot 1 - 20 \cdot 1) = 20 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 from (1.9) Thus 2 = 2028 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 311 so we may take x = 96 and - 311

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

 $2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$ from (1.10) = 20 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot (26 \cdot 1 - 20 \cdot 1) = 20 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 from (1.9) = (150 \cdot 1 - 26 \cdot 5) \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 = 150 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 23 from (1.8)

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

$$2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$$
 from (1.10)
= $20 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot (26 \cdot 1 - 20 \cdot 1) = 20 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3$ from (1.9)
= $(150 \cdot 1 - 26 \cdot 5) \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 = 150 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 23$ from (1.8)
= $150 \cdot 4 - (626 - 150 \cdot 4) \cdot 23 = 150 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 23$ from (1.7)

$$2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$$

= 20 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot (26 \cdot 1 - 20 \cdot 1) = 20 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3
= (150 \cdot 1 - 26 \cdot 5) \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 = 150 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 23
= 150 \cdot 4 - (626 - 150 \cdot 4) \cdot 23 = 150 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 23
= (2028 - 626 \cdot 3) \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 23 = 2028 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 311
from (1.6).
from (1.7)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

$$2 = 20 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 3$$
 from (1.10)
= $20 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot (26 \cdot 1 - 20 \cdot 1) = 20 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3$ from (1.9)
= $(150 \cdot 1 - 26 \cdot 5) \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 3 = 150 \cdot 4 - 26 \cdot 23$ from (1.8)
= $150 \cdot 4 - (626 - 150 \cdot 4) \cdot 23 = 150 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 23$ from (1.7)
= $(2028 - 626 \cdot 3) \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 23 = 2028 \cdot 96 - 626 \cdot 311$ from (1.6).
Thus 2 = 2028, 06 = 626, 211 so we may take x = 06 and

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Definition 1.5 Let *a* and *b* be integers. If there exists an integer *q* such that b = qa then we say that *a* **divides** *b*, or *a*|*b*,.

A definition establishes once and for all the meaning of a word. From now on whenever we say "divides" we mean what it says above, nothing more, nothing less.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Other ways of saying a|b are that a is a **factor** of b, a is a **divisor** of b or b is a **multiple** of a.

We write $a \nmid b$ to denote "a does not divide b".

Definition 1.5 Let *a* and *b* be integers. If there exists an integer *q* such that b = qa then we say that *a* **divides** *b*, or a|b,.

A definition establishes once and for all the meaning of a word. From now on whenever we say "divides" we mean what it says above, nothing more, nothing less.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Other ways of saying a|b are that a is a **factor** of b, a is a **divisor** of b or b is a **multiple** of a.

We write $a \nmid b$ to denote "a does not divide b".

Definition 1.5 Let *a* and *b* be integers. If there exists an integer *q* such that b = qa then we say that *a* **divides** *b*, or a|b,.

A definition establishes once and for all the meaning of a word. From now on whenever we say "divides" we mean what it says above, nothing more, nothing less.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Other ways of saying a | b are that a is a **factor** of b, a is a **divisor** of b or b is a **multiple** of a.

We write a \ b to denote "a does not divide b".

Definition 1.5 Let *a* and *b* be integers. If there exists an integer *q* such that b = qa then we say that *a* **divides** *b*, or a|b,.

A definition establishes once and for all the meaning of a word. From now on whenever we say "divides" we mean what it says above, nothing more, nothing less.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Other ways of saying a | b are that a is a **factor** of b, a is a **divisor** of b or b is a **multiple** of a.

We write $a \nmid b$ to denote "a does not divide b".

Example 1.6 From the definition we can easily check that 6|18 because $18 = 6 \cdot 3$.

In the same way we see that 6 divides 24, 12, 6, 0 and -6.

Also it's "obvious" $7 \nmid 16$ and $-11 \nmid 32$: but these are not immediate consequences of the definition of division.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Example 1.7 We shall prove that 6|(6n+6), for all integers *n*

From the definition we can easily check that 6|18 because $18 = 6 \cdot 3$.

In the same way we see that 6 divides 24, 12, 6, 0 and -6.

Also it's "obvious" $7 \nmid 16$ and $-11 \nmid 32$: but these are not immediate consequences of the definition of division.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Example 1.7 We shall prove that 6|(6n+6), for all integers *n*

From the definition we can easily check that 6|18 because $18 = 6 \cdot 3$.

In the same way we see that 6 divides 24, 12, 6, 0 and -6.

Also it's "obvious" $7 \nmid 16$ and $-11 \nmid 32$: but these are not immediate consequences of the definition of division.

Example 1.7 We shall prove that 6|(6n+6), for all integers *n*

From the definition we can easily check that 6|18 because $18 = 6 \cdot 3$.

In the same way we see that 6 divides 24, 12, 6, 0 and -6.

Also it's "obvious" $7 \nmid 16$ and $-11 \nmid 32$: but these are not immediate consequences of the definition of division.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Example 1.7

We shall prove that 6|(6n+6), for all integers *n*.

In Example 1.7 we have proved something is true for all integers.

Example 1.8 Prove that $4[((2n+1)^2 - 1)]$, for all integers *n* In Example 1.7 we have proved something is true for all integers.

To prove this it is **not** enough to find an example of some integer n for which the statement is true.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Example 1.8 Prove that $4[(2n+1)^2 - 1]$, for all integers *n*. In Example 1.7 we have proved something is true for all integers.

To prove this it is **not** enough to find an example of some integer n for which the statement is true.

On the other hand if you are asked to prove that there exist integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 52 then it would be enough to find an example: say x = -7 and y = 9, as in Example 1.3.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Example 1.8 Prove that $4|[(2n+1)^2 - 1]$, for all integers *n*.
In Example 1.7 we have proved something is true for all integers.

To prove this it is **not** enough to find an example of some integer n for which the statement is true.

On the other hand if you are asked to prove that there exist integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 52 then it would be enough to find an example: say x = -7 and y = 9, as in Example 1.3.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Example 1.8 Prove that $4|[(2n+1)^2 - 1]$, for all integers *n*.

Definition 1.9 The **modulus** or **absolute value** of a real number x is denoted |x| and is given by the formula

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \ -\mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{0}. \end{array}
ight.$$

The definition above is what is known as a definition by cases.

For example

|-6| = 6 = |6|, 102 = |102| = |-102| and |0| = 0 = -0 = |-0|.

◆ロ〉 ◆御〉 ◆臣〉 ◆臣〉 三臣 - のへで

Definition 1.9 The **modulus** or **absolute value** of a real number x is denoted |x| and is given by the formula

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \ -\mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{0}. \end{array}
ight.$$

The definition above is what is known as a definition by cases.

For example

$$|-6| = 6 = |6|,$$

 $102 = |102| = |-102|$ and
 $|0| = 0 = -0 = |-0|.$

◆ロ▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Definition 1.9 The **modulus** or **absolute value** of a real number x is denoted |x| and is given by the formula

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \ -\mathbf{x}, & ext{if } \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{0}. \end{array}
ight.$$

The definition above is what is known as a definition by cases.

For example

$$|-6| = 6 = |6|,$$

 $102 = |102| = |-102|$ and
 $|0| = 0 = -0 = |-0|.$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ● 臣 = のへ(?)

Theorem 1.10 (**The Division Algorithm**) Let a and b be integers with $a \neq 0$. Then there exist unique integers q and r such that b = aq + r and $0 \le r < |a|$.

- (1) The condition that $a \neq 0$ is necessary. If it's left out then the statement becomes untrue.
- (2) There are two parts to the conclusion of the Theorem. Firstly it says that such *q* and *r* do exist. Secondly it says that *q* and *r* are unique.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 </

Theorem 1.10 (The Division Algorithm)

Let a and b be integers with $a \neq 0$. Then there exist unique integers q and r such that b = aq + r and $0 \le r < |a|$.

- (1) The condition that $a \neq 0$ is necessary. If it's left out then the statement becomes untrue.
- (2) There are two parts to the conclusion of the Theorem. Firstly it says that such *q* and *r* do exist. Secondly it says that *q* and *r* are unique.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Theorem 1.10 (The Division Algorithm)

Let a and b be integers with $a \neq 0$. Then there exist unique integers q and r such that b = aq + r and $0 \le r < |a|$.

- (1) The condition that $a \neq 0$ is necessary. If it's left out then the statement becomes untrue.
- (2) There are two parts to the conclusion of the Theorem. Firstly it says that such q and r do exist. Secondly it says that q and r are unique.

Theorem 1.10 (The Division Algorithm)

Let a and b be integers with $a \neq 0$. Then there exist unique integers q and r such that b = aq + r and $0 \le r < |a|$.

- (1) The condition that $a \neq 0$ is necessary. If it's left out then the statement becomes untrue.
- (2) There are two parts to the conclusion of the Theorem. Firstly it says that such q and r do exist. Secondly it says that q and r are unique.

Every integer *n* can be written as n = 2q + r, with $0 \le r < 2$.

If r = 0 we say *n* is **even** and if r = 1 we say *n* is **odd**.

We've used the Division Algorithm (Theorem 1.10) to partition of integers into odd and even.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Example 1.12

Every integer *n* can be written as n = 2q + r, with $0 \le r < 2$.

If r = 0 we say *n* is **even** and if r = 1 we say *n* is **odd**.

We've used the Division Algorithm (Theorem 1.10) to partition of integers into odd and even.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Example 1.12

Every integer *n* can be written as n = 2q + r, with $0 \le r < 2$.

If r = 0 we say *n* is **even** and if r = 1 we say *n* is **odd**.

We've used the Division Algorithm (Theorem 1.10) to partition of integers into odd and even.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Example 1.12

Every integer *n* can be written as n = 2q + r, with $0 \le r < 2$.

If r = 0 we say *n* is **even** and if r = 1 we say *n* is **odd**.

We've used the Division Algorithm (Theorem 1.10) to partition of integers into odd and even.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Example 1.12

Example 1.13 Show that $3|n^3 - n$, for all integers *n*.

Example 1.14 Show that if *n* is an integer then n^3 has the form 4k, 4k + 1 or 4k + 3, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.13 Show that $3|n^3 - n$, for all integers *n*.

Example 1.14 Show that if *n* is an integer then n^3 has the form 4k, 4k + 1 or 4k + 3, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.



Example 1.15

Consider the equation $112 = 20 \cdot 5 + 12$.

Why are the gcd's are both the same?

Lemma 1.16 Let s,t and u be integers, which are not all zero, such that

s = tq + u,

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then gcd(s, t) = gcd(t, u).

Example 1.15

Consider the equation $112 = 20 \cdot 5 + 12$.

Why are the gcd's are both the same?

Lemma 1.16 Let s,t and u be integers, which are not all zero, such that

s = tq + u,

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then gcd(s, t) = gcd(t, u).

Example 1.15

Consider the equation $112 = 20 \cdot 5 + 12$.

Why are the gcd's are both the same?

Lemma 1.16 Let s, t and u be integers, which are not all zero, such that

s = tq + u,

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then gcd(s, t) = gcd(t, u).

Example 1.15

Consider the equation $112 = 20 \cdot 5 + 12$.

Why are the gcd's are both the same?

Lemma 1.16 Let s, t and u be integers, which are not all zero, such that

s = tq + u,

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then gcd(s, t) = gcd(t, u).

Show that any integer that divides both s and t must also divide u.

Then show that any integer that divides both t and u must also divide s.

Then the set of common divisors of s and t is exactly the same as the set of common divisors of t and u

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Show that any integer that divides both s and t must also divide u.

Then show that any integer that divides both t and u must also divide s.

Then the set of common divisors of s and t is exactly the same as the set of common divisors of t and u

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Show that any integer that divides both s and t must also divide u.

Then show that any integer that divides both t and u must also divide s.

Then the set of common divisors of s and t is exactly the same as the set of common divisors of t and u

Show that any integer that divides both s and t must also divide u.

Then show that any integer that divides both t and u must also divide s.

Then the set of common divisors of s and t is exactly the same as the set of common divisors of t and u

Lemma 1.18

- 1. *n*|*n*, for all integers *n*.
- 2. n|0, for all integers n.
- If m and n are integers, m n and n > 0 then $m \le n$.
- If m and n are positive integers and m|n then gcd(m,n) = m.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 → ���

Lemma 1.18

- 1. *n*|*n*, for all integers *n*.
- 2. n|0, for all integers n.
- 3. If *m* and *n* are integers, m|n and n > 0 then $m \le n$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

 If m and n are positive integers and m|n then gcd(m,n) = m.

Lemma 1.18

- 1. $n \mid n$, for all integers n.
- 2. n|0, for all integers n.
- 3. If *m* and *n* are integers, m|n and n > 0 then $m \le n$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

 If m and n are positive integers and m|n then gcd(m,n) = m.

Lemma 1.18

- 1. *n*|*n*, for all integers *n*.
- 2. *n*|0, for all integers *n*.
- 3. If *m* and *n* are integers, $m \mid n$ and n > 0 then $m \le n$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

 If m and n are positive integers and m|n then gcd(m,n) = m.

Lemma 1.18

- 1. *n*|*n*, for all integers *n*.
- 2. *n*|0, for all integers *n*.
- 3. If *m* and *n* are integers, $m \mid n$ and n > 0 then $m \le n$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

4. If *m* and *n* are positive integers and m|n then gcd(m,n) = m.

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

Step(1) Start with some statement to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

Step(1) Start with some statement to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.

Step(2) Assume the negation of what is to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

- Step(1) Start with some statement to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.
- Step(2) Assume the negation of what is to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Step(3) Derive some consequences of the assumption. We obtain n = mq, with $q \ge 1$.

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

- Step(1) Start with some statement to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.
- Step(2) Assume the negation of what is to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.
- Step(3) Derive some consequences of the assumption. We obtain n = mq, with $q \ge 1$.
- Step(4) Show that something we've derived is false. We show that $n \ge m$, which together with m > n makes n > n, which can never hold.

The proof of the last part of the Lemma above is known as proof by contradiction. This always works as follows.

- Step(1) Start with some statement to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.
- Step(2) Assume the negation of what is to be proved. In the Lemma this is that $m \le n$, given that m|n and n > 0.
- Step(3) Derive some consequences of the assumption. We obtain n = mq, with $q \ge 1$.
- Step(4) Show that something we've derived is false. We show that $n \ge m$, which together with m > n makes n > n, which can never hold.
- Step(5) **Conclude that the result holds.** It cannot happen that m > n because this forces n > n, which is impossible. The conclusion is $m \le n$.

Why the Euclidean Algorithm works

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11).

Stringing all these facts together we have

 $2 = \gcd(6, 2)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

that is gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Why the Euclidean Algorithm works

Example 1.19

Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11).

Stringing all these facts together we have

2 = gcd(6, 2)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

that is gcd(2028, 626) = 2.

Why the Euclidean Algorithm works

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

 $2 = \gcd(6,2)$ $= \gcd(20,6)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

that is gcd(2028, 626) = 2.
Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

 $2 = \gcd(6, 2) \\ = \gcd(20, 6) \\ = \gcd(26, 20)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

> $2 = \gcd(6, 2)$ = gcd(20, 6) = gcd(26, 20) = gcd(150, 26)

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

> 2 = gcd(6,2)= gcd(20,6) = gcd(26,20) = gcd(150,26) = gcd(626,150)

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

> $2 = \gcd(6,2)$ = gcd(20,6) = gcd(26,20) = gcd(150,26) = gcd(626,150) = gcd(2028,626),

Example 1.19 Consider the Equations (1.6)–(1.11). Stringing all these facts together we have

> $2 = \gcd(6,2)$ = gcd(20,6) = gcd(26,20) = gcd(150,26) = gcd(626,150) = gcd(2028,626),

gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1)



gcd(2600,2028) = gcd(2028,572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028,572) = gcd(572,312), using Equation (1.2)

gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028, 572) = gcd(572, 312), using Equation (1.2) gcd(572, 312) = gcd(312, 260), using Equation (1.3)

> gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028, 572) = gcd(572, 312), using Equation (1.2) gcd(572, 312) = gcd(312, 260), using Equation (1.3) gcd(312, 260) = gcd(260, 52), using Equation (1.4).

> gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028, 572) = gcd(572, 312), using Equation (1.2) gcd(572, 312) = gcd(312, 260), using Equation (1.3) gcd(312, 260) = gcd(260, 52), using Equation (1.4).

From Equation (1.5) we see that 52|260 so gcd(52,260) = 52. that is gcd(2600,2028) = 52.

gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028, 572) = gcd(572, 312), using Equation (1.2) gcd(572, 312) = gcd(312, 260), using Equation (1.3) gcd(312, 260) = gcd(260, 52), using Equation (1.4).

From Equation (1.5) we see that 52|260 so gcd(52,260) = 52. Therefore

 $52 = \gcd(260, 52) = \gcd(312, 260) =$ $\gcd(572, 312) = \gcd(2028, 572) = \gcd(2600, 2028),$

gcd(2600, 2028) = gcd(2028, 572), using Equation (1.1) gcd(2028, 572) = gcd(572, 312), using Equation (1.2) gcd(572, 312) = gcd(312, 260), using Equation (1.3) gcd(312, 260) = gcd(260, 52), using Equation (1.4).

From Equation (1.5) we see that 52|260 so gcd(52,260) = 52. Therefore

 $52 = \gcd(260, 52) = \gcd(312, 260) =$ $\gcd(572, 312) = \gcd(2028, 572) = \gcd(2600, 2028),$

Given two integers *a* and *b* we can work back through the output of the Euclidean algorithm, as we did in Examples 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, to find integers *x* and *y* such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

Theorem 1.21

Let a and b be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers u and v such that d = au + bv.

The input to the Euclidean algorithm is a pair of positive integers. What if a < 0?

Given two integers *a* and *b* we can work back through the output of the Euclidean algorithm, as we did in Examples 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, to find integers *x* and *y* such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

Theorem 1.21 Let a and b be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers u and v such that d = au + bv.

The input to the Euclidean algorithm is a pair of positive integers. What if a < 0?

Given two integers *a* and *b* we can work back through the output of the Euclidean algorithm, as we did in Examples 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, to find integers *x* and *y* such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

Theorem 1.21 Let a and b be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers u and v such that d = au + bv.

The input to the Euclidean algorithm is a pair of positive integers. What if a < 0?

Given two integers *a* and *b* we can work back through the output of the Euclidean algorithm, as we did in Examples 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, to find integers *x* and *y* such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

Theorem 1.21 Let a and b be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers u and v such that d = au + bv.

The input to the Euclidean algorithm is a pair of positive integers. What if a < 0?

An application

Example 1.22 Find integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 104.

An application

Example 1.22 Find integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 104.

In Example 1.3 we ran the Euclidean Algorithm and found gcd(2600, 2028) = 52.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

An application

Example 1.22

```
Find integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 104.
```

In Example 1.3 we ran the Euclidean Algorithm and found gcd(2600, 2028) = 52.

Once we'd done so we were able to use the equations generated to find integers *x* and *y* such that

 $2600 \cdot (-7) + 2028 \cdot 9 = 52. \tag{1.12}$

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (12378, 3054) & 12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162 & (1.13) \\ (1.14) & (1.15) \\ (1.16) & (1.17) \\ (1.18) \end{array}$

Example 1.23 Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y. First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378, 3054).

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (12378,3054) & 12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162 & (1.13) \\ (1.14) & (1.15) \\ (1.16) & (1.17) \\ (1.18) \end{array}$

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Example 1.23 Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y. First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

 $\begin{array}{ccc} (12378, 3054) & 12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162 & (1.13) \\ & (1.14) \\ & (1.15) \\ & (1.16) \\ & (1.17) \\ & (1.18) \end{array}$

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
		(1.15)
		(1.16)
		(1.17)

(1.18)

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
(162,138)	$162 = 138 \cdot 1 + 24$	(1.15)
		(1.16)
		(1.17)
		(1.18)

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
(162,138)	$162 = 138 \cdot 1 + 24$	(1.15)
(138,24)	$138 = 24 \cdot 5 + 18$	(1.16)
		(1.17)
		(1.18)

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
(162,138)	$162 = 138 \cdot 1 + 24$	(1.15)
(138,24)	$138 = 24 \cdot 5 + 18$	(1.16)
(24,18)	$24 = 18 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.17)
		(1.18)

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
(162,138)	$162 = 138 \cdot 1 + 24$	(1.15)
(138,24)	$138 = 24 \cdot 5 + 18$	(1.16)
(24,18)	$24 = 18 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.17)
(18,6)	$18 = 3 \cdot 6 + 0.$	(1.18)

Find integers x and y such that -72 = 12378x - 3054y.

First we run the Euclidean Algorithm to find gcd(12378,3054).

(12378,3054)	$12378 = 3054 \cdot 4 + 162$	(1.13)
(3054,162)	$3054 = 162 \cdot 18 + 138$	(1.14)
(162,138)	$162 = 138 \cdot 1 + 24$	(1.15)
(138,24)	$138 = 24 \cdot 5 + 18$	(1.16)
(24,18)	$24 = 18 \cdot 1 + 6$	(1.17)
(18,6)	$18 = 3 \cdot 6 + 0.$	(1.18)

6 = 12378u + 3054v.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

6 = 12378u + 3054v.

$6 = 24 - 18 \cdot 1$	from (1.17)
$= 24 - (138 - 24 \cdot 5) = 24 \cdot 6 - 138$	from (1.16)
$=(162 - 138) \cdot 6 - 138 = 162 \cdot 6 - 138 \cdot 7$	from (1.15)
$=$ 162 \cdot 6 $-$ (3054 $-$ 162 \cdot 18) \cdot 7	
$= 162 \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7$	from (1.14)
$=(12738 - 3054 \cdot 4) \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7$	
$=$ 12378 \cdot 132 $-$ 3054 \cdot 535	from (1.13).
Thus	
$6 = 12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535)$	(1.19)
nd we may take $u = 132$ and $v = -535$.	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$

 $6 = 24 - 18 \cdot 1$ from (1.17) $= 24 - (138 - 24 \cdot 5) = 24 \cdot 6 - 138$ from (1.16)

$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$



$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 のへで

$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$

$$6 = 24 - 18 \cdot 1$$
 from (1.17)

$$= 24 - (138 - 24 \cdot 5) = 24 \cdot 6 - 138$$
 from (1.16)

$$= (162 - 138) \cdot 6 - 138 = 162 \cdot 6 - 138 \cdot 7$$
 from (1.15)

$$= 162 \cdot 6 - (3054 - 162 \cdot 18) \cdot 7$$

$$= 162 \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7$$
 from (1.14)

$$= (12738 - 3054 \cdot 4) \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7$$

$$= 12378 \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 535$$
 from (1.13).

 $6 = 12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \tag{1.19}$

and we may take u = 132 and v = -535.

$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$

$$\begin{split} 6 &= 24 - 18 \cdot 1 & \text{from } (1.17) \\ &= 24 - (138 - 24 \cdot 5) = 24 \cdot 6 - 138 & \text{from } (1.16) \\ &= (162 - 138) \cdot 6 - 138 = 162 \cdot 6 - 138 \cdot 7 & \text{from } (1.15) \\ &= 162 \cdot 6 - (3054 - 162 \cdot 18) \cdot 7 \\ &= 162 \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7 & \text{from } (1.14) \\ &= (12738 - 3054 \cdot 4) \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7 \\ &= 12378 \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 535 & \text{from } (1.13). \end{split}$$

Thus

 $6 = 12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \tag{1.19}$

and we may take u = 132 and v = -535.

$$6 = 12378u + 3054v.$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6 &= 24 - 18 \cdot 1 & \text{from } (1.17) \\ &= 24 - (138 - 24 \cdot 5) = 24 \cdot 6 - 138 & \text{from } (1.16) \\ &= (162 - 138) \cdot 6 - 138 = 162 \cdot 6 - 138 \cdot 7 & \text{from } (1.15) \\ &= 162 \cdot 6 - (3054 - 162 \cdot 18) \cdot 7 & \text{from } (1.14) \\ &= (12738 - 3054 \cdot 7) & \text{from } (1.14) \\ &= (12738 - 3054 \cdot 4) \cdot 132 - 3054 \cdot 7 & \text{from } (1.13). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

 $6 = 12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \tag{1.19}$

and we may take u = 132 and v = -535.
Existence of solutions

Lemma 1.24 Let a, b and c be integers (a, b not both zero). The equation

$$ax + by = c \tag{1.20}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

has integer solutions x, y if and only if gcd(a,b)|c.

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

To say "the equation has solutions if and only if gcd(a, b)|c" means two things:

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

To say "the equation has solutions if and only if gcd(a, b)|c" means two things:

- 1. if the equation has solutions then gcd(a, b)|c and
- 2.

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

To say "the equation has solutions if and only if gcd(a, b)|c" means two things:

- 1. if the equation has solutions then gcd(a, b)|c and
- 2. if gcd(a, b)|c then the equation has solutions.

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

To say "the equation has solutions if and only if gcd(a, b)|c" means two things:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

- 1. if the equation has solutions then gcd(a, b)|c and
- 2. if gcd(a, b)|c then the equation has solutions.

The second statement is the converse of the first.

The phrase "if and only if" in this Lemma is an important part of the conclusion.

To say "the equation has solutions if and only if gcd(a, b)|c" means two things:

- 1. if the equation has solutions then gcd(a, b)|c and
- 2. if gcd(a, b)|c then the equation has solutions.

The second statement is the converse of the first.

(More generally, the converse of "If A is true then B is true" is "If B is true then A is true".)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

This is apparent in everday life. For example it would be quite reasonable to say that the statement "If I am a frog then I can swim" is true.

This is apparent in everday life. For example it would be quite reasonable to say that the statement "If I am a frog then I can swim" is true.

The converse is "If I can swim then I am a frog", and this is commonly regarded as false.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

This is apparent in everday life. For example it would be quite reasonable to say that the statement "If I am a frog then I can swim" is true.

The converse is "If I can swim then I am a frog", and this is commonly regarded as false.

More precise mathematical examples are not hard to find.

There are several different ways of saying things like "if ... then ..." and "... if and only if ...".

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

There are several different ways of saying things like "if ... then ..." and "... if and only if ...".

The symbol \Rightarrow is read "implies". All the entries on a given line of the following table mean the same thing: entries on different lines do not mean the same thing.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

There are several different ways of saying things like "if then" and " if and only if".						
The symbol \Rightarrow is read "implies". All the entries on a given line of the following table mean the same thing: entries on different lines do not mean the same thing.						
	if A then B	$A \Rightarrow B$	B if A			

(日)

There are several different ways of saying things like "if ... then ..." and "... if and only if ...".

The symbol \Rightarrow is read "implies". All the entries on a given line of the following table mean the same thing: entries on different lines do not mean the same thing.

_	if A then B	$A \Rightarrow B$	B if A	
	if B then A	$A \Leftarrow B$	A if B	
-				

There are several different ways of saying things like "if ... then ..." and "... if and only if ...".

The symbol \Rightarrow is read "implies". All the entries on a given line of the following table mean the same thing: entries on different lines do not mean the same thing.

if A then B	$A \Rightarrow B$	B if A	
if B then A	$A \Leftarrow B$	A if B	
A if and only if B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$	A iff B	

Are there integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 130?

Example 1.26

For which *c* does the equation 72x + 49y = c have a solution?

gcd(72, 49) = 1so the equation 72x + 49y = c has a solution for every choice of c.

Are there integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 130?

Example 1.26

For which *c* does the equation 72x + 49y = c have a solution?

gcd(72,49) = 1so the equation 72x + 49y = c has a solution for every choice of c.

Are there integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 130?

Example 1.26

For which *c* does the equation 72x + 49y = c have a solution?

gcd(72,49) = 1so the equation 72x + 49y = c has a solution for every choice of *c*.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Are there integers x and y such that 2600x + 2028y = 130?

Example 1.26

For which *c* does the equation 72x + 49y = c have a solution?

gcd(72, 49) = 1

so the equation 72x + 49y = c has a solution for every choice of *c*.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of a, b there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of a, b there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of a, b there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of a, b there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of a, b there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

```
e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.
```

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of *a*, *b* there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

```
e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.
```

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of *a*, *b* there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of *a*, *b* there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Fix integers *a* and *b* and let d = gcd(a, b).

Lemma 1.24 tells us that the equation ax + by = c has a solution if and only if d|c. So

- 1. there is a solution if d = c and
- 2. there is no solution if 0 < c < d.

Conclusion: *d* is the smallest positive integer that can be written in the form ax + by, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose that for our choice of *a*, *b* there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

e.g. take a = 25132 and b = 15079, then 3a - 5b = 1.

Objectives

After covering this chapter of the course you should be able to:

- (i) use terms such as Definition, Lemma, and proof with confidence;
- (ii) read and understand simple proofs;
- (iii) remember Definition 1.5 of *a* divides *b*, for integers *a* and *b*;
- (iv) apply this definition to prove simple divisibility properties;
- (v) state the Division Algorithm and be able to use it to demonstrate properties of integers;
- (vi) remember the definition of greatest common divisor of two integers;
- (vii) apply this definition to prove results;
- (viii) apply the Euclidean algorithm and explain why it works;
- (ix) find solutions to equations of the kind given above.

More Apples and Wine

The professor has been awarded a pay increase and decides to throw a party. He wants French wine for this party. Unfortunately in this department the pay is in bottles of English wine. Lecturers in Classics are paid in French wine and apples; so the professor wishes to trade English wine for apples and French wine.

The prof still wants to eat six apples, as it happens.

Can the professor buy sufficient wine to make a really memorable party?

More Apples and Wine

The professor has been awarded a pay increase and decides to throw a party. He wants French wine for this party. Unfortunately in this department the pay is in bottles of English wine. Lecturers in Classics are paid in French wine and apples; so the professor wishes to trade English wine for apples and French wine.

The prof still wants to eat six apples, as it happens.

Can the professor buy sufficient wine to make a really memorable party?

More Apples and Wine

The professor has been awarded a pay increase and decides to throw a party. He wants French wine for this party. Unfortunately in this department the pay is in bottles of English wine. Lecturers in Classics are paid in French wine and apples; so the professor wishes to trade English wine for apples and French wine.

The prof still wants to eat six apples, as it happens.

Can the professor buy sufficient wine to make a really memorable party?

Solutions to a bartering problem



900

In this section we'll develop enough of the theory of integers to enable us to write down a formula which tells us exactly which values of x and y are solutions to equations of this type for which we seek integer solutions (linear Diophantine equations). The main new idea we need is that of pairs of "coprime" numbers. In this section we'll develop enough of the theory of integers to enable us to write down a formula which tells us exactly which values of x and y are solutions to equations of this type for which we seek integer solutions (linear Diophantine equations). The main new idea we need is that of pairs of "coprime" numbers.
Greatest common divisors again

The Euclidean Algorithm, run on natural numbers a and b, gives not only gcd(a, b) but also integers u and v such that

gcd(a,b) = au + bv.

This gave us Theorem 1.21:

Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that d = au + bv.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 <lp>・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Greatest common divisors again

The Euclidean Algorithm, run on natural numbers a and b, gives not only gcd(a, b) but also integers u and v such that

gcd(a,b) = au + bv.

This gave us Theorem 1.21:

Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that d = au + bv.

Greatest common divisors again

The Euclidean Algorithm, run on natural numbers a and b, gives not only gcd(a, b) but also integers u and v such that

gcd(a,b) = au + bv.

This gave us Theorem 1.21:

Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both zero, and let d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that d = au + bv.

Suppose that we have positive integers *a* and *b*.

Consider the set

 $\mathbf{S} = \{ ak + bl \in \mathbb{Z} : ak + bl > 0 \text{ and } k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

This is a set of positive integers.

Suppose that we have positive integers *a* and *b*.

Consider the set

 $S = \{ak + bl \in \mathbb{Z} : ak + bl > 0 \text{ and } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

This is a set of positive integers.

Suppose that we have positive integers *a* and *b*.

Consider the set

 $S = \{ak + bl \in \mathbb{Z} : ak + bl > 0 \text{ and } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

This is a set of positive integers.

Suppose that we have positive integers *a* and *b*.

Consider the set

 $S = \{ak + bl \in \mathbb{Z} : ak + bl > 0 \text{ and } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

This is a set of positive integers.

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a + b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a+b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a + b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a + b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a + b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

It is a fundamental property of numbers that every non-empty set of positive integers has a smallest element.

It's easy to see S is non-empty as it contains, for example a + b.

Therefore S has a smallest element, s say. Then

s = ak + bl, for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2.1)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Now, using the Division Algorithm, we can write

a = (ak + bl)q + r= a(kq) + b(lq) + r,

SO

r = a(1 - kq) + b(-lq), with $0 \le r < s$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

If $r \neq 0$ then we have $r \in S$ and r < s, a contradiction.

Therefore r = 0 and a = sq. That is, s|a.

a = (ak + bl)q + r= a(kq) + b(lq) + r,

so

$$r = a(1 - kq) + b(-lq)$$
, with $0 \le r < s$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

If $r \neq 0$ then we have $r \in S$ and r < s, a contradiction.

Therefore r = 0 and a = sq. That is, s|a.

a = (ak + bl)q + r= a(kq) + b(lq) + r,

so

$$r = a(1 - kq) + b(-lq)$$
, with $0 \le r < s$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

If $r \neq 0$ then we have $r \in S$ and r < s, a contradiction.

Therefore r = 0 and a = sq. That is, s|a.

a = (ak + bl)q + r= a(kq) + b(lq) + r,

so

$$r = a(1 - kq) + b(-lq)$$
, with $0 \le r < s$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

If $r \neq 0$ then we have $r \in S$ and r < s, a contradiction.

Therefore r = 0 and a = sq. That is, s|a.

a = (ak + bl)q + r= a(kq) + b(lq) + r,

so

$$r = a(1 - kq) + b(-lq)$$
, with $0 \le r < s$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

If $r \neq 0$ then we have $r \in S$ and r < s, a contradiction.

Therefore r = 0 and a = sq. That is, s|a.

Now suppose that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$.

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

 $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{l}) = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{v}\mathbf{l}).$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 <lp>・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Therefore c|s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Now suppose that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$.

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Therefore c|s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Now suppose that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$.

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

Therefore c|s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

Therefore c | s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

Therefore c | s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

Therefore c | s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Then a = cu and b = cv, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substitution in (2.1) gives

s = c(uk) + c(vl) = c(uk + vl).

Therefore c | s and from Lemma 1.18.3 we have $c \leq s$.

This completes the proof that s = gcd(a, b)

and we've already found k, l such that s = ak + bl,

Definition 2.1 If a and b are integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 then we say that a and b are **coprime**.

Example 2.2 6 and 35 are coprime and

 $6 \cdot 6 - 1 \cdot 35 = 1.$

What about

 $11375 \cdot 3085622 - 7469451 \cdot 4699 = 1?$

We have *u* and *v* such that 11375u + 7469451v = 1.

Does this mean gcd(11375, 7469451) = 1?

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Definition 2.1

If *a* and *b* are integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 then we say that *a* and *b* are **coprime**.

Example 2.2

6 and 35 are coprime and

 $6 \cdot 6 - 1 \cdot 35 = 1.$

What about

 $11375 \cdot 3085622 - 7469451 \cdot 4699 = 1?$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

We have *u* and *v* such that 11375u + 7469451v = 1.

Does this mean gcd(11375,7469451) = 1?

Definition 2.1 If a and b are integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 then we say that a and b are **coprime**.

Example 2.2

6 and 35 are coprime and

 $6 \cdot 6 - 1 \cdot 35 = 1.$

What about

$11375 \cdot 3085622 - 7469451 \cdot 4699 = 1?$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

We have *u* and *v* such that 11375u + 7469451v = 1.

Does this mean gcd(11375,7469451) = 1?

Definition 2.1 If a and b are integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 then we say that a and b are **coprime**.

Example 2.2 6 and 35 are coprime and

 $6 \cdot 6 - 1 \cdot 35 = 1.$

What about

 $11375 \cdot 3085622 - 7469451 \cdot 4699 = 1?$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

We have *u* and *v* such that 11375u + 7469451v = 1.

Does this mean gcd(11375, 7469451) = 1?

Definition 2.1 If a and b are integers with gcd(a, b) = 1 then we say that a and b are **coprime**.

Example 2.2 6 and 35 are coprime and

 $6 \cdot 6 - 1 \cdot 35 = 1.$

What about

 $11375 \cdot 3085622 - 7469451 \cdot 4699 = 1?$

We have *u* and *v* such that 11375u + 7469451v = 1.

Does this mean gcd(11375, 7469451) = 1?

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

ep(1)

Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

・ロン ・聞 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

3

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

Step(1) Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

Step(1) Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

Step(1) Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

Step(1) Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

If *a* and *b* are coprime then it follows directly from Theorem 1.21 that such u and v exist.

Corollary 2.3

Integers a and b are coprime if and only if there exist integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

A corollary is something which follows easily from a previously proven fact.

Proof. This is an if and only if proof so has two halves.

Step(1) Prove that if *a* and *b* are coprime then there exist integers *u* and *v* such that au + bv = 1.

If *a* and *b* are coprime then it follows directly from Theorem 1.21 that such u and v exist.

This completes Step (1)
◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへで

Assume that there are integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Assume that there are integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Let $d = \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.

Assume that there are integers u and v such that au + bv = 1.

Let $d = \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.

We have d = 1, so a and b are coprime, as required.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Euclid's Lemma

Lemma 2.4 Let a, b and c be integers with gcd(a, b) = 1. If a|bc then a|c.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Application to solving equations

A Linear Diophantine Equation is one of the form

ax + by = c,

where *a*, *b* and *c* are integers and we seek integer solutions.

Lemma 1.24 states that such an equation has a solution if and only if gcd(a, b)|c.

Application to solving equations

A Linear Diophantine Equation is one of the form

ax + by = c,

where *a*, *b* and *c* are integers and we seek integer solutions.

Lemma 1.24 states that such an equation has a solution if and only if gcd(a, b)|c.

Theorem 2.5 Let a, b, c be integers and let d = gcd(a, b). The equation

$$ax + by = c \tag{2.2}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

has an integer solution if and only if d|c.

If d|c then equation (2.2) has infinitely many solutions

and if $x = u_0$, $y = v_0$ is one solution then $x = u_1$, $y = v_1$ is a solution if and only if

 $u_1 = u_0 + (b/d)t$

and

 $v_1=v_0-(a/d)t,$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.5 Let a, b, c be integers and let d = gcd(a, b). The equation

$$ax + by = c$$
 (2.2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

has an integer solution if and only if d|c.

If d c then equation (2.2) has infinitely many solutions

and if $x = u_0$, $y = v_0$ is one solution then $x = u_1$, $y = v_1$ is a solution if and only if

 $u_1 = u_0 + (b/d)t$

and

 $v_1=v_0-(a/d)t,$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.5 Let a, b, c be integers and let d = gcd(a, b). The equation

$$ax + by = c$$
 (2.2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

has an integer solution if and only if d|c.

If *d c* then equation (2.2) has infinitely many solutions

and if $x = u_0$, $y = v_0$ is one solution then $x = u_1$, $y = v_1$ is a solution if and only if

 $u_1 = u_0 + (b/d)t$

and

 $v_1 = v_0 - (a/d)t,$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.20 continued

Example 2.6 gcd(2600,2028) = 52 and the equation 2600x + 2028y = 104has a solution x = -14, y = 18.

As 2600/52 = 50 and 2028/52 = 39 the solutions to this equation are

x = -14 + 39t, y = 18 - 50t, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For each integer *t* we have a solution, some of which are shown below.

t	X	У
-2	-92	118
-1	-53	68
0	-14	18
1	25	-32
2	64	-82

Example 1.20 continued

Example 2.6 gcd(2600,2028) = 52 and the equation 2600x + 2028y = 104has a solution x = -14, y = 18.

As 2600/52 = 50 and 2028/52 = 39 the solutions to this equation are

x = -14 + 39t, y = 18 - 50t, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For each integer *t* we have a solution, some of which are shown below.

t	X	У
-2	-92	118
-1	-53	68
0	-14	18
1	25	-32
2	64	-82

Example 1.20 continued

Example 2.6 gcd(2600,2028) = 52 and the equation 2600x + 2028y = 104has a solution x = -14, y = 18.

As 2600/52 = 50 and 2028/52 = 39 the solutions to this equation are

x = -14 + 39t, y = 18 - 50t, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For each integer t we have a solution, some of which are shown below.

t	X	У
-2	-92	118
-1	-53	68
0	-14	18
1	25	-32
2	64	-82

Find all integer solutions to the equation 63x + 12y = 18.

List all solutions with x > -12 and y > 6.

From Example 1.2 we have gcd(63, 12) = 3 and as 3|18 the equation has solutions.

In Example 1.2 we also found that $63 \cdot 1 + 12 \cdot (-5) = 3$.

Find all integer solutions to the equation 63x + 12y = 18.

List all solutions with x > -12 and y > 6.

From Example 1.2 we have gcd(63, 12) = 3 and as 3|18 the equation has solutions.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

In Example 1.2 we also found that $63 \cdot 1 + 12 \cdot (-5) = 3$.

Find all integer solutions to the equation 63x + 12y = 18.

List all solutions with x > -12 and y > 6.

From Example 1.2 we have gcd(63, 12) = 3 and as 3|18 the equation has solutions.

In Example 1.2 we also found that $63 \cdot 1 + 12 \cdot (-5) = 3$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

Find all integer solutions to the equation 63x + 12y = 18.

List all solutions with x > -12 and y > 6.

From Example 1.2 we have gcd(63, 12) = 3 and as 3|18 the equation has solutions.

In Example 1.2 we also found that $63 \cdot 1 + 12 \cdot (-5) = 3$.

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0.

In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6.$

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0. In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6$.

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0.

In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6.$

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0. In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6.$

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0.

In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6$.

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0.

In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6$.

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

Find the general form for integer solutions to the equation 12378x + 3054y = 42.

Find all solutions x, y with x > 0 and y > -2000.

Find all solutions with x > 0 and y > 0.

In Example 1.23 we found that gcd(12378, 3054) = 6 and since 6|42 this equation has solutions.

In the given example we also found $12378 \cdot 132 + 3054 \cdot (-535) = 6$.

Multiplying through by 7 gives $12378 \cdot 132 \cdot 7 + 3054 \cdot (-535) \cdot 7 = 42.$

This gives a particular solution

 $x = 132 \cdot 7 = 924$ and $y = (-535) \cdot 7 = -3745$.

For the general form of the solution, in this case we have a/d = 12378/6 = 2063 and b/d = 3054/6 = 509.

The general form of the solution is therefore

x = 924 + 509t and y = -3745 - 2063t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(We can check this is correct: with t = 1 we verify that $12373 \cdot 1433 + 3054(-5808) = 42.$)

・ロト・「聞・ 《聞・ 《聞・ 《曰・

For the general form of the solution, in this case we have a/d = 12378/6 = 2063 and b/d = 3054/6 = 509.

The general form of the solution is therefore

x = 924 + 509t and y = -3745 - 2063t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(We can check this is correct: with t = 1 we verify that $12373 \cdot 1433 + 3054(-5808) = 42.$)

For the general form of the solution, in this case we have a/d = 12378/6 = 2063 and b/d = 3054/6 = 509.

The general form of the solution is therefore

x = 924 + 509t and y = -3745 - 2063t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(We can check this is correct: with t = 1 we verify that $12373 \cdot 1433 + 3054(-5808) = 42$.)

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

namely

$$x = 415, y = -1682.$$

As *t* is an integer we therefore require $t \ge -1$.

We have solutions with y > -2000 if and only if -3745 - 2063t > -2000

if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 2000

if and only if t < -1754/2063

if and only if $t \leq -1$.

Therefore there is a unique solution with x > 0 and y < -2000, which we obtain by setting t = -1,

$$x = 415, y = -1682$$

We have solutions with y > 0 if and only if 3754 + 2063t < 0

if and only if t < -3754/2000

if and only if $t \leq -2$.

Thus to obtain a solution with x, y > 0 we need both $t \ge -1$ and $t \le -2$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

There are no such *t* so there are no solutions with x, y > 0.
if and only if t < -3754/2000

if and only if $t \leq -2$.

Thus to obtain a solution with x, y > 0 we need both $t \ge -1$ and $t \le -2$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

if and only if t < -3754/2000

if and only if $t \leq -2$.

Thus to obtain a solution with x, y > 0 we need both $t \ge -1$ and $t \le -2$.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

if and only if t < -3754/2000

if and only if $t \leq -2$.

Thus to obtain a solution with x, y > 0 we need both $t \ge -1$ and $t \le -2$.

if and only if t < -3754/2000

if and only if $t \leq -2$.

Thus to obtain a solution with x, y > 0 we need both $t \ge -1$ and $t \le -2$.

Objectives

After covering this chapter of the course you should be able to:

- (i) recall Theorem 1.21 and understand its proof;
- (ii) define a coprime pair of integers;
- (iii) recall Corollary 2.3 and Euclid's Lemma and understand their proofs;
- (iv) find the general form of the solution of a linear Diophantine equation in two variables.

Listing the number of drinks bought:

Questions answered	Drinks bought	Tray size
1	1	1 × 1
2	1 + 3 = 4	2×2
3	1 + 3 + 5 = 9	3×3
4	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16	4×4
5	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25	5×5.

i.e. the sum of the first *n* positive odd numbers is n^2 , at least for n = 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Does this hold for all positive integers greater than *n*?

Listing the number of drinks bought:

Questions answered	Drinks bought	Tray size
1	1	1 × 1
2	1 + 3 = 4	2×2
3	1 + 3 + 5 = 9	3 × 3
4	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16	4×4
5	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25	5 × 5 .

i.e. the sum of the first *n* positive odd numbers is n^2 , at least for n = 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Does this hold for all positive integers greater than *n*?

Listing the number of drinks bought:

Questions answered	Drinks bought	Tray size
1	1	1 × 1
2	1 + 3 = 4	2×2
3	1 + 3 + 5 = 9	3×3
4	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16	4×4
5	1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25	5 × 5 .

i.e. the sum of the first *n* positive odd numbers is n^2 , at least for n = 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Does this hold for all positive integers greater than n?

To answer this question we can begin by finding the difference between: the sum of the first *n* positive odd numbers:

 $1+3+\cdots+(2n-1)$

and the sum of the first n+1 positive odd numbers:

$$1+3+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)$$

which is clearly 2n+1.

▲ロト▲聞ト▲国ト▲国ト 国 のQで

To answer this question we can begin by finding the difference between: the sum of the first n positive odd numbers:

 $1 + 3 + \cdots + (2n - 1)$

and the sum of the first n+1 positive odd numbers:

$$1+3+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)$$

which is clearly 2n+1.

To answer this question we can begin by finding the difference between: the sum of the first n positive odd numbers:

 $1 + 3 + \cdots + (2n - 1)$

and the sum of the first n+1 positive odd numbers:

$$1+3+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)$$

which is clearly 2n+1.

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that **if**

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへの

$$1+3+\dots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that **if**

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

$$1+3+\dots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that if

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

$$1+3+\dots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that **if**

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

$$1+3+\dots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that **if**

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

</

$$1+3+\dots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

$$(n+1)^2 - n^2 = (n^2 + 2n + 1) - n^2 = 2n + 1$$

again.

The difference between the *n*th and (n+1)th sums of odd integers is the same as the difference between n^2 and $(n+1)^2$. This means that **if**

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2 \tag{3.1}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

</

$$1+3+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)=(n+1)^2.$$
 (3.2)

Therefore if (3.1) holds then (3.2) holds as well.

We know that (3.1) holds for n = 5 so (3.2) holds for n = 5 as well.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7

... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers *n*.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7

... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers *n*.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7

... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers *n*.

This implies though that (3.1) holds for n = 6; so (3.2) holds for n = 6.

In turn this means (3.1) holds for n = 7; so (3.2) holds for n = 7 ... and so on. Continuing like this we can see that (3.1) holds for all positive integers n.

We have used the following simple property of sets of positive numbers. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is we have statements P(1), P(2), P(3),.... The **Principle of Induction** goes like this. Assume it can be shown

that P(1) is true and

that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので
We have used the following simple property of sets of positive numbers. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

That is we have statements P(1), P(2), P(3),.... The **Principle of Induction** goes like this. Assume it can be shown

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

- (1) that P(1) is true and
- (2) that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge 1$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A property, like the Principle of Induction, which we do not try to prove because we believe it is a law of nature is called an axiom.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Suppose that we wish to prove

$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here P(n) is the statement

$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

and we wish to prove that $P(1), P(2), P(3), \ldots$ are true.

Suppose that we wish to prove

$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Here $P(n)$ is the statement
$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and we wish to prove that $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$ are true.

for

Suppose that we wish to prove

$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Here $P(n)$ is the statement
$$\frac{1}{1\times 2} + \frac{1}{2\times 3} + \frac{1}{3\times 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and we wish to prove that $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$ are true.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ の < @

Change of basis

It is possible to start induction at some point other than n = 1. In this case we use the following version of the Principle of Induction.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

Change of basis

It is possible to start induction at some point other than n = 1. In this case we use the following version of the Principle of Induction.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$. Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 <lp>・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$. Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$. Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that P(n) is a statement, for all $n \ge s$. Assume further

(1') that P(s) is true and (2') that if P(k) is true then P(k+1) is true, for $k \ge s$.

Then P(n) is true for all $n \ge s$.

Example 3.2 (Bernoulli's Inequality) Prove that

 $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 0$, and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, x > -1$.



Example 3.3 Show that $2^n > n^3$, for all $n \ge 10$.

Note that $2^9 = 512 < 729 = 9^3$, so the result does not hold when n = 9.

In fact, for any positive integer *t* and sufficiently large *n* we have $2^n > n^t$. In our proof t = 3 and we show exactly what "sufficiently large" means in this case.

Show that $2^n > n^3$, for all $n \ge 10$.

Note that $2^9 = 512 < 729 = 9^3$, so the result does not hold when n = 9.

In fact, for any positive integer *t* and sufficiently large *n* we have $2^n > n^t$. In our proof t = 3 and we show exactly what "sufficiently large" means in this case.

Example 3.3 Show that $2^n > n^3$, for all $n \ge 10$. Note that $2^9 = 512 < 729 = 9^3$, so the result does not hold when n = 9. In fact, for any positive integer *t* and sufficiently large *n* we have $2^n > n^t$. In our proof t = 3 and we show exactly what "sufficiently large" means in this case.

After covering this chapter of the course you should be able to:

- (i) understand the principle of proof by induction;
- (ii) carry out proof by induction, both starting with the integer 1 and starting with an integer other than 1;

It follows from the definition of division that every integer *n* is divisible by ± 1 and by $\pm n$.

Amongst the positive integers a special case is the integer 1 which has only one positive divisor, namely 1.

All other positive integers *n* have at least 2 positive divisors, 1 and *n*, and may have more.

Definition 4.1 A positive integer p > 1 is called a **prime** if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer greater than one which is not prime is called **composite**.

It follows from the definition of division that every integer *n* is divisible by ± 1 and by $\pm n$.

Amongst the positive integers a special case is the integer 1 which has only one positive divisor, namely 1.

All other positive integers *n* have at least 2 positive divisors, 1 and *n*, and may have more.

Definition 4.1 A positive integer p > 1 is called a **prime** if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer greater than one which is not prime is called **composite**.

It follows from the definition of division that every integer *n* is divisible by ± 1 and by $\pm n$.

Amongst the positive integers a special case is the integer 1 which has only one positive divisor, namely 1.

All other positive integers *n* have at least 2 positive divisors, 1 and *n*, and may have more.

Definition 4.1 A positive integer p > 1 is called a **prime** if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer greater than one which is not prime is called **composite**.

It follows from the definition of division that every integer *n* is divisible by ± 1 and by $\pm n$.

Amongst the positive integers a special case is the integer 1 which has only one positive divisor, namely 1.

All other positive integers *n* have at least 2 positive divisors, 1 and *n*, and may have more.

Definition 4.1 A positive integer p > 1 is called a **prime** if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer greater than one which is not prime is called **composite**.

◆□ → ◆□ → ∢ ≡ → ∢ ≡ → の < @ →

It follows from the definition of division that every integer *n* is divisible by ± 1 and by $\pm n$.

Amongst the positive integers a special case is the integer 1 which has only one positive divisor, namely 1.

All other positive integers *n* have at least 2 positive divisors, 1 and *n*, and may have more.

Definition 4.1

A positive integer p > 1 is called a **prime** if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer greater than one which is not prime is called **composite**.

whilst the first few composite integers are: 4 which is divisible by 2

1 is neither prime nor composite.

・ロト・西・・田・・田・・日・

whilst the first few composite integers are:

4 which is divisible by 2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

whilst the first few composite integers are:

4 which is divisible by 2
6 which is divisible by 2 and 3

whilst the first few composite integers are:

- 4 which is divisible by 2
- 6 which is divisible by 2 and 3
- 8 which is divisible by 2 and 4

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

whilst the first few composite integers are:

- 4 which is divisible by 2
- 6 which is divisible by 2 and 3
- 8 which is divisible by 2 and 4

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

9 which is divisible by 3

whilst the first few composite integers are:

- 4 which is divisible by 2
- 6 which is divisible by 2 and 3
- 8 which is divisible by 2 and 4
- 9 which is divisible by 3
- 10 which is divisible by 2 and 5.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

whilst the first few composite integers are:

- 4 which is divisible by 2
- 6 which is divisible by 2 and 3
- 8 which is divisible by 2 and 4
- 9 which is divisible by 3
- 10 which is divisible by 2 and 5.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

The prime divisor property

A fundamental property of prime numbers is the following.

Theorem 4.2 If p is a prime and p|ab then p|a or p|b.



The prime divisor property

A fundamental property of prime numbers is the following.

Theorem 4.2 If p is a prime and p|ab then p|a or p|b.

Example 4.3 If 3|bc then either 3|b or 3|c.

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)
The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・
 </

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers.

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers. The Theorem above can easily be extended to products of more than 2 integers.

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers. The Theorem above can easily be extended to products of more than 2 integers.

For example, if 3 abc then, from the Theorem either 3 ab or 3 c.

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers.

The Theorem above can easily be extended to products of more than 2 integers.

For example, if 3 abc then, from the Theorem either 3 ab or 3 c.

If 3|ab then, from the Theorem again, 3|a or 3|b.

The same goes for 29: if 29|bc then 29|b or 29|c.

This does not hold for all integers. For instance 6|24 and $24 = 8 \cdot 3$, so $6|8 \cdot 3$ but $6 \nmid 8$ and $6 \nmid 3$.

Once we've discussed prime factorisation it will be easy to see why this property doesn't hold for any composite integers. The Theorem above can easily be extended to products of more than 2 integers.

For example, if 3|abc then, from the Theorem either 3|ab or 3|c.

<ロ> < @> < @> < @> < @> < @> < @</p>

If 3|ab then, from the Theorem again, 3|a or 3|b.

Therefore, if 3|abc then 3|a or 3|b or 3|c.

Corollary 4.4 If *p* is prime and $p|a_1 \cdots a_n$ then $p|a_i$, for some *i*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

Corollary 4.4 If *p* is prime and $p|a_1 \cdots a_n$ then $p|a_i$, for some *i*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let

 $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$.



Let

 $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ の < @

Then p|ab so, from Theorem 4.2, p|a or p|b.

Let

$$a = a_1 \cdots a_n$$
 and $b = a_{n+1}$.

Then p|ab so, from Theorem 4.2, p|a or p|b.

If p|a the inductive hypothesis implies that $p|a_i$, for some *i* with $1 \le i \le n$.

Let

 $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$.

Then p|ab so, from Theorem 4.2, p|a or p|b.

If p|a the inductive hypothesis implies that $p|a_i$, for some *i* with $1 \le i \le n$.

If p|b then $p|a_{n+1}$.

Let

 $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$.

Then p|ab so, from Theorem 4.2, p|a or p|b.

If p|a the inductive hypothesis implies that $p|a_i$, for some *i* with $1 \le i \le n$.

If p|b then $p|a_{n+1}$.

Hence $p|a_i$, for some *i*, as required.

Prime Factorisation

An expression of an integer n as a product of primes is called a **prime factorisation** of n.

For example 12 and 25 have prime factorisations $12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ and $25 = 5 \cdot 5$, respectively.



An expression of an integer n as a product of primes is called a **prime factorisation** of n.

For example 12 and 25 have prime factorisations $12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ and $25 = 5 \cdot 5$, respectively.



An expression of an integer *n* as a product of primes is called a **prime factorisation** of *n*.

For example 12 and 25 have prime factorisations $12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ and $25 = 5 \cdot 5$, respectively.

We aim to show that every positive integer greater than one has a prime factorisation and that this prime factorisation is unique, up to the order in which the prime factors occur.

Prime Factorisation

An expression of an integer n as a product of primes is called a **prime factorisation** of n.

For example 12 and 25 have prime factorisations $12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ and $25 = 5 \cdot 5$, respectively.

We aim to show that every positive integer greater than one has a prime factorisation and that this prime factorisation is unique, up to the order in which the prime factors occur.

For instance

 $2 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 7,$ $2 \cdot 7 \cdot 2 \cdot 5,$ $7 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5$

are all prime factorisations of 140 but are regarded as the same because the number of 2's, 5's and 7's is the same in each.

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively.

We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively.

We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively.

We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively. We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively.

We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

7 and $3 \cdot 7$

We consider these as products of primes of length one and two respectively.

We'll see that all positive numbers > 1 are products of primes – and no number has more than one factorisation as a product of primes (if we count correctly).

The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Theorem 4.6 Every integer n > 1 is a product of one or more primes. This product is unique apart from the order in which the primes occur.

Proof.

Step(1) Prove that every n > 1 has a prime factorisation.

Step(2) Prove that prime factorisations are unique.

The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Theorem 4.6 Every integer n > 1 is a product of one or more primes. This product is unique apart from the order in which the primes occur.

Proof.

Step(1) Prove that every n > 1 has a prime factorisation.

Step(2) Prove that prime factorisations are unique.

The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Theorem 4.6 Every integer n > 1 is a product of one or more primes. This product is unique apart from the order in which the primes occur.

Proof.

Step(1) Prove that every n > 1 has a prime factorisation.

Step(2) Prove that prime factorisations are unique.

Rational numbers

Before continuing we shall pause to see that this theorem really did need proving: that it is not a universal truth that holds in all situations.

To begin with consider the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . We can factor 2 as

 $2 = 4 \cdot (1/2) = 8 \cdot (1/4) = \dots = 2^n \cdot (1/2^{n-1}) = \dots =$

and in general as $2q \cdot (1/q)$, for any non-zero element $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore there is no hope of anything like Theorem 4.6 holding in \mathbb{Q} .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 <lp>・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

Before continuing we shall pause to see that this theorem really did need proving: that it is not a universal truth that holds in all situations.

To begin with consider the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

We can factor 2 as

 $2 = 4 \cdot (1/2) = 8 \cdot (1/4) = \dots = 2^n \cdot (1/2^{n-1}) = \dots =$

and in general as $2q \cdot (1/q)$, for any non-zero element $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore there is no hope of anything like Theorem 4.6 holding in \mathbb{Q} .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Before continuing we shall pause to see that this theorem really did need proving: that it is not a universal truth that holds in all situations.

To begin with consider the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

We can factor 2 as

$$2 = 4 \cdot (1/2) = 8 \cdot (1/4) = \dots = 2^n \cdot (1/2^{n-1}) = \dots =$$

and in general as $2q \cdot (1/q)$, for any non-zero element $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore there is no hope of anything like Theorem 4.6 holding in \mathbb{Q} .

Before continuing we shall pause to see that this theorem really did need proving: that it is not a universal truth that holds in all situations.

To begin with consider the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

We can factor 2 as

$$2 = 4 \cdot (1/2) = 8 \cdot (1/4) = \dots = 2^n \cdot (1/2^{n-1}) = \dots =$$

and in general as $2q \cdot (1/q)$, for any non-zero element $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore there is no hope of anything like Theorem 4.6 holding in \mathbb{Q} .

To see how the uniqueness part of the Theorem might fail: let E denote the set of all even integers:

 $E = \{\dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\}.$

If we add two elements of E we obtain another element of E: if 2n and 2m are arbitrary elements of E then

 $2m+2n=2(m+n)\in E.$

(The same is true of subtraction.) If we multiply together two elements of E the result is an element of E:

 $2m \cdot 2n = 2(2mn) \in E$.

We can therefore regard *E* as a number system, the E-number system, with operations of addition and multiplication \mathbf{x}_{1} , \mathbf{x}_{2} , \mathbf{x}_{3}

To see how the uniqueness part of the Theorem might fail: let E denote the set of all even integers:

 $E = \{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \ldots\}.$

If we add two elements of *E* we obtain another element of *E*: if 2n and 2m are arbitrary elements of *E* then

 $2m+2n=2(m+n)\in E.$

(The same is true of subtraction.) If we multiply together two elements of E the result is an element of E:

 $2m \cdot 2n = 2(2mn) \in E$.

We can therefore regard *E* as a number system, the E-number system, with operations of addition and multiplication \mathbf{x}_{1} , \mathbf{x}_{2} , \mathbf{x}_{3}

To see how the uniqueness part of the Theorem might fail: let E denote the set of all even integers:

 $E = \{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \ldots\}.$

If we add two elements of *E* we obtain another element of *E*: if 2n and 2m are arbitrary elements of *E* then

 $2m+2n=2(m+n)\in E.$

(The same is true of subtraction.)

If we multiply together two elements of *E* the result is an element of *E*:

 $2m \cdot 2n = 2(2mn) \in E$.

We can therefore regard *E* as a number system, the E-number system, with operations of addition and multiplication \mathbf{x}_{1} , \mathbf{x}_{2} , \mathbf{x}_{3}

To see how the uniqueness part of the Theorem might fail: let E denote the set of all even integers:

 $E = \{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \ldots\}.$

If we add two elements of *E* we obtain another element of *E*: if 2n and 2m are arbitrary elements of *E* then

 $2m+2n=2(m+n)\in E.$

(The same is true of subtraction.) If we multiply together two elements of E the result is an element of E:

 $2m \cdot 2n = 2(2mn) \in E$.

We can therefore regard *E* as a number system, the **E-number** system, with operations of addition and multiplication $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{x}}$, $\mathbf{x}_{$
E-numbers

To see how the uniqueness part of the Theorem might fail: let E denote the set of all even integers:

 $E = \{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \ldots\}.$

If we add two elements of *E* we obtain another element of *E*: if 2n and 2m are arbitrary elements of *E* then

 $2m+2n=2(m+n)\in E.$

(The same is true of subtraction.) If we multiply together two elements of E the result is an element of E:

 $2m \cdot 2n = 2(2mn) \in E$.

We can therefore regard E as a number system, the E-number system, with operations of addition and multiplication.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$ 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$. Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$.

2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$ Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$. 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$.

Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$. 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$. Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2|_E 10$. Also $2|_E 2$ and $4|_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$.

More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$. 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$. Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$. 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$. Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

We shall can also define division.

Definition 4.7

If *a* and *b* are elements of *E* then we say that *a* E-divides *b* if b = aq, where *q* is an element of *E*. Write $a|_E b$ if *a* E-divides *b*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

With this definition $2|_E 8$ because $8 = 2 \cdot 4$ and $4 \in E$. 2 does not E-divide 6 because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$ and $3 \notin E$. Also $2|_E 4$ and $4|_E 24$ but $2 \nmid_E 10$. Also $2 \nmid_E 2$ and $4 \nmid_E 4$ as $2 = 2 \cdot 1$ and $4 = 4 \cdot 1$. More generally $n \nmid_E n$, for all *E*-numbers *n*.

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime.

The first few E-primes are

2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime. The first few E-primes are

2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime.

The first few E-primes are

2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30.

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime.

The first few E-primes are

2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime.

The first few E-primes are

2,6,10,14,18,22,26,30.

Now we can define E-prime numbers (but here we don't have to worry about 1 which is not an E-number, and no number divides itself).

Definition 4.8

A positive E-number *n* is called an E-prime if it has no E-divisor.

2 is E-prime, 4 is not, 6 is E-prime.

The first few E-primes are

2, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30.

The numbers 4, 8 and 12 have E-prime factorisations

 $4 = 2 \cdot 2$, $8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ and $12 = 2 \cdot 6$.

In fact Theorem 4.6 can be adapted to show that every E-number has an E-prime factorisation. However 60 has two prime factorisations

 $60 = 2 \cdot 30$ and $60 = 6 \cdot 10$.

Therefore the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.6 does not extend to E-numbers.

The numbers 4, 8 and 12 have E-prime factorisations

 $4 = 2 \cdot 2$, $8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ and $12 = 2 \cdot 6$.

In fact Theorem 4.6 can be adapted to show that every E-number has an E-prime factorisation.

However 60 has two prime factorisations

 $60 = 2 \cdot 30$ and $60 = 6 \cdot 10$.

Therefore the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.6 does not extend to E-numbers.

The numbers 4, 8 and 12 have E-prime factorisations

 $4 = 2 \cdot 2$, $8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ and $12 = 2 \cdot 6$.

In fact Theorem 4.6 can be adapted to show that every E-number has an E-prime factorisation. However 60 has two prime factorisations

 $60 = 2 \cdot 30$ and $60 = 6 \cdot 10$.

Therefore the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.6 does not extend to E-numbers.

The numbers 4, 8 and 12 have E-prime factorisations

 $4 = 2 \cdot 2$, $8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ and $12 = 2 \cdot 6$.

In fact Theorem 4.6 can be adapted to show that every E-number has an E-prime factorisation. However 60 has two prime factorisations

 $60 = 2 \cdot 30$ and $60 = 6 \cdot 10$.

Therefore the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.6 does not extend to E-numbers.

It is often convenient to write the prime factorisation of an integer with all like primes collected together, in ascending order, and with exponential notation.

For example we could write the prime factorisations of 140 and 2200 as

 $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and

We call this the **collected prime factorisation** of an integer *n* or say that we've written *n* in **standard form**.

From the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic it follows that collected prime factorisations are unique.

It is often convenient to write the prime factorisation of an integer with all like primes collected together, in ascending order, and with exponential notation.

For example we could write the prime factorisations of 140 and 2200 as

 $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and

We call this the **collected prime factorisation** of an integer *n* or say that we've written *n* in **standard form**.

From the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic it follows that collected prime factorisations are unique.

It is often convenient to write the prime factorisation of an integer with all like primes collected together, in ascending order, and with exponential notation.

For example we could write the prime factorisations of 140 and 2200 as

 $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $2200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11.$

We call this the **collected prime factorisation** of an integer *n* or say that we've written *n* in **standard form**.

From the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic it follows that collected prime factorisations are unique.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

It is often convenient to write the prime factorisation of an integer with all like primes collected together, in ascending order, and with exponential notation.

For example we could write the prime factorisations of 140 and 2200 as

 $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $2200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11.$

We call this the **collected prime factorisation** of an integer n or say that we've written n in **standard form**.

From the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic it follows that collected prime factorisations are unique.

It is often convenient to write the prime factorisation of an integer with all like primes collected together, in ascending order, and with exponential notation.

For example we could write the prime factorisations of 140 and 2200 as

 $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and $2200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11.$

We call this the **collected prime factorisation** of an integer n or say that we've written n in **standard form**.

From the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic it follows that collected prime factorisations are unique.

Corollary 4.9 Let n > 1 be an integer. Then n may be written uniquely as

$$n=p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k},$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

where $k \ge 1$, $p_1 < \cdots < p_k$, p_i is prime and $a_i \ge 1$.

If *n* is a positive integer and has collected prime factorisation $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$

then $n^2 = (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})$

An integer *m* is of the form n^2 , for some integer *n*, if and only if every prime in the prime factorisation of *m* has even exponent.

Corollary 4.10

There is no rational number r such that $r^2 = 2$. That is $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

If *n* is a positive integer and has collected prime factorisation $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$

then $n^2 = (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})$

An integer *m* is of the form n^2 , for some integer *n*, if and only if every prime in the prime factorisation of *m* has even exponent.

Corollary 4.10

There is no rational number r such that $r^2 = 2$. That is $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

If *n* is a positive integer and has collected prime factorisation $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$

then $n^2 = (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})$

An integer *m* is of the form n^2 , for some integer *n*, if and only if every prime in the prime factorisation of *m* has even exponent.

Corollary 4.10

There is no rational number r such that $r^2 = 2$. That is $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

If *n* is a positive integer and has collected prime factorisation $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$

then $n^2 = (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k})$

An integer *m* is of the form n^2 , for some integer *n*, if and only if every prime in the prime factorisation of *m* has even exponent.

Corollary 4.10

There is no rational number r such that $r^2 = 2$. That is $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Test it for divisibility by all prime numbers p such that 1 .

Better to use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11 An integer n > 1 is composite if and only if it has a prime divisor p such that $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

Test it for divisibility by all prime numbers p such that 1 .

Better to use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11 An integer n > 1 is composite if and only if it has a prime divisor p such that $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Test it for divisibility by all prime numbers p such that 1 .

Better to use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11 An integer n > 1 is composite if and only if it has a prime divisor p such that $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Test it for divisibility by all prime numbers *p* such that 1 .

Better to use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11 An integer n > 1 is composite if and only if it has a prime divisor p such that $p \le \sqrt{n}$.

▲日 ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43

is now a complete list of primes between 1 and 45.

This method of constructing lists of primes is known as the Sieve of Eratosthenes.

In fact it is still too inefficient to use in practice to determine if a large number is prime.

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43

is now a complete list of primes between 1 and 45.

This method of constructing lists of primes is known as the Sieve of Eratosthenes.

In fact it is still too inefficient to use in practice to determine if a large number is prime.

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43

is now a complete list of primes between 1 and 45.

This method of constructing lists of primes is known as the Sieve of Eratosthenes.

In fact it is still too inefficient to use in practice to determine if a large number is prime.

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43

is now a complete list of primes between 1 and 45.

This method of constructing lists of primes is known as the Sieve of Eratosthenes.

In fact it is still too inefficient to use in practice to determine if a large number is prime.
The following theorem appears in Book IX of the Elements, a mathematical textbook written by Euclid: a Greek mathematician who lived around 300 bc.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Theorem 4.13 *There are infinitely many primes.*

The proof is by contradiction.

The following theorem appears in Book IX of the Elements, a mathematical textbook written by Euclid: a Greek mathematician who lived around 300 bc.

Theorem 4.13 *There are infinitely many primes.*

The proof is by contradiction.

The following theorem appears in Book IX of the Elements, a mathematical textbook written by Euclid: a Greek mathematician who lived around 300 bc.

Theorem 4.13 *There are infinitely many primes.*

The proof is by contradiction.

Objectives

After covering this chapter of the course you should be able to:

- (i) define prime and composite numbers;
- (ii) recall the prime divisor property, Theorem 4.2, and understand its proof;
- (iii) recall the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, Theorem 4.6, and understand its proof;
- (iv) recognise and write down the prime factorisation and standard form or collected prime factorisation of an integer;
- (v) use the sieve of Eratosthenes;
- (vi) recall the statement of Theorem 4.13 and understand its proof.

This is a method of testing integers for divisibility by 9.

Procedure 5.1

Given a non–negative integer (written in base 10) repeat the following steps (in any order) until a number less than 9 is obtained.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

- Cross out any digits that sum to 9 or a multiple of 9.
- Add the remaining digits.

This is a method of testing integers for divisibility by 9.

Procedure 5.1

Given a non–negative integer (written in base 10) repeat the following steps (in any order) until a number less than 9 is obtained.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

- 1. Cross out any digits that sum to 9 or a multiple of 9.
- 2. Add the remaining digits.

This is a method of testing integers for divisibility by 9.

Procedure 5.1

Given a non–negative integer (written in base 10) repeat the following steps (in any order) until a number less than 9 is obtained.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

1. Cross out any digits that sum to 9 or a multiple of 9.

2. Add the remaining digits.

This is a method of testing integers for divisibility by 9.

Procedure 5.1

Given a non–negative integer (written in base 10) repeat the following steps (in any order) until a number less than 9 is obtained.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- 1. Cross out any digits that sum to 9 or a multiple of 9.
- 2. Add the remaining digits.

This is a method of testing integers for divisibility by 9.

Procedure 5.1

Given a non–negative integer (written in base 10) repeat the following steps (in any order) until a number less than 9 is obtained.

- 1. Cross out any digits that sum to 9 or a multiple of 9.
- 2. Add the remaining digits.

Example 5.2 Cast out Nines from 215763401.

Check the computation

 $215763401 \times 51422218 = 11095032642643428.$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Example 5.2 Cast out Nines from 215763401.

Example 5.3

Check the computation

 $215763401 \times 51422218 = 11095032642643428.$

1. Write down your telephone number.

- 2. Write down your telephone number with digits reversed.
- 3. Subtract the smaller of these two numbers from the larger.
- 4. By casting out nines from the result decide whether or not it is divisible by 9.

- 1. Write down your telephone number.
- 2. Write down your telephone number with digits reversed.
- 3. Subtract the smaller of these two numbers from the larger.
- 4. By casting out nines from the result decide whether or not it is divisible by 9.

- 1. Write down your telephone number.
- 2. Write down your telephone number with digits reversed.
- 3. Subtract the smaller of these two numbers from the larger.
- 4. By casting out nines from the result decide whether or not it is divisible by 9.

- 1. Write down your telephone number.
- 2. Write down your telephone number with digits reversed.
- 3. Subtract the smaller of these two numbers from the larger.
- 4. By casting out nines from the result decide whether or not it is divisible by 9.

The "Odd & Even" Number System

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Red, white and blue arithmetic

▲□ → ▲圖 → ▲ 圖 → ▲ 圖 → 의 ۹ ()

Congruence

In the Red, White and Blue number system we collected together all integers which left remainder 0, 1 or 2 after division by 3, and called them white, red or blue.

We saw that *a* and *b* are the same colour if and only if 3|b-a.

Generalising this from 3 to an arbitrary integer *n* leads us to the definition of congruence.

Congruence

In the Red, White and Blue number system we collected together all integers which left remainder 0, 1 or 2 after division by 3, and called them white, red or blue.

We saw that *a* and *b* are the same colour if and only if 3|b-a.

Generalising this from 3 to an arbitrary integer *n* leads us to the definition of congruence.

Congruence

In the Red, White and Blue number system we collected together all integers which left remainder 0, 1 or 2 after division by 3, and called them white, red or blue.

We saw that *a* and *b* are the same colour if and only if 3|b-a.

Generalising this from 3 to an arbitrary integer n leads us to the definition of congruence.

If n|b-a then we say that *a* is **congruent** to *b* **modulo** *n*, and write

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

For instance $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ and $216 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.

As in the case n = 3 above, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if *a* and *b* both leave the same remainder after division by *n*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

If $n \mid b - a$ then we say that a is **congruent** to b modulo n, and write

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

For instance $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ and $216 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.

As in the case n = 3 above, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if *a* and *b* both leave the same remainder after division by *n*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 </

If $n \mid b - a$ then we say that a is **congruent** to b modulo n, and write

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

For instance $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ and $216 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.

As in the case n = 3 above, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if *a* and *b* both leave the same remainder after division by *n*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 </

If $n \mid b - a$ then we say that a is **congruent** to b modulo n, and write

 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

For instance $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ and $216 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.

As in the case n = 3 above, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if *a* and *b* both leave the same remainder after division by *n*.

$$a = nq + r$$
 and $b = np + r$, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

a = nq + r and b = np + r, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

a = nq + r and b = np + r, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

a = nq + r and b = np + r, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 </

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

a = nq + r and b = np + r, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

a = nq + r and b = np + r, where $0 \le r < n$ (5.1)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

then

$$b-a=n(p-q),$$

so n|b-a: that is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

On the other hand if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $n|b-a \operatorname{so} b-a=np$, for some *p*.

In this case if a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$, then b = np + a

Example 5.5

Congruence modulo 2 gives rise to the Odd and Even number system.

Example 5.6

Congruence modulo 3 gives rise to the Red, White and Blue number system.

Example 5.5

Congruence modulo 2 gives rise to the Odd and Even number system.

Example 5.6

Congruence modulo 3 gives rise to the Red, White and Blue number system.

Then $0 \equiv 10 \pmod{10}$, $10 \equiv 101090 \pmod{10}$, $11 \equiv 121 \pmod{10}$ and $27 \equiv 253427 \pmod{10}$.

Every positive integer is congruent to its last digit (written to base 10).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

In particular integers congruent to 0 all end in the digit 0.

Then $0 \equiv 10 \pmod{10}$, $10 \equiv 101090 \pmod{10}$, $11 \equiv 121 \pmod{10}$ and $27 \equiv 253427 \pmod{10}$.

Every positive integer is congruent to its last digit (written to base 10).

In particular integers congruent to 0 all end in the digit 0.

Then $0 \equiv 10 \pmod{10}$, $10 \equiv 101090 \pmod{10}$, $11 \equiv 121 \pmod{10}$ and $27 \equiv 253427 \pmod{10}$.

Every positive integer is congruent to its last digit (written to base 10).

In particular integers congruent to 0 all end in the digit 0.

Then $0 \equiv 10 \pmod{10}$, $10 \equiv 101090 \pmod{10}$, $11 \equiv 121 \pmod{10}$ and $27 \equiv 253427 \pmod{10}$.

Every positive integer is congruent to its last digit (written to base 10).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

In particular integers congruent to 0 all end in the digit 0.
Example 5.7 Suppose n = 10.

Then $0 \equiv 10 \pmod{10}$, $10 \equiv 101090 \pmod{10}$, $11 \equiv 121 \pmod{10}$ and $27 \equiv 253427 \pmod{10}$.

Every positive integer is congruent to its last digit (written to base 10).

In particular integers congruent to 0 all end in the digit 0.

These are exactly the integers divisible by 10.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

The first one is easy since n|0 = a - a, for all integers a. We'll check the last one here and leave the second as an exercise.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,

2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and

3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

If we have any integers a, b and c and n is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

The first one is easy since n|0 = a - a, for all integers a. We'll check the last one here and leave the second as an exercise.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

The first one is easy since n|0 = a - a, for all integers a. We'll check the last one here and leave the second as an exercise.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

The first one is easy since n|0 = a - a, for all integers *a*. We'll check the last one here and leave the second as an exercise.

If we have any integers *a*, *b* and *c* and *n* is a positive integer

then

- 1. $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$,
- 2. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and
- 3. if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

These are all properties of equality.

Let's check them for congruence.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Arithmetic with congruences is called modular arithmetic.

We've already seen a couple of examples: Odd & Even arithmetic and Red, White and Blue arithmetic.

The idea is to add and multiply integers in the usual way but to regard two numbers as the same if they are congruent.

There is a possible problem with this. Suppose we work modulo 10, that is n = 10.

Now take two integers which are congruent modulo 10, say 23 and 3. We are to regard these as the same.

This means that if we do something to one, say add 6, then we should get the same answer as if we add 6 to the other.

Here "the same answer" means the same answer modulo 10.

Let's see:

23 + 6 = 29 and 3 + 6 = 9.

This is alright because $29 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$ and so we regard 29 and 9 as the same.

Does this always work? The purpose of the next Lemma is to reassure us that it does.

Let's see:

$$23 + 6 = 29$$
 and $3 + 6 = 9$.

This is alright because $29 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$ and so we regard 29 and 9 as the same.

Does this always work? The purpose of the next Lemma is to reassure us that it does.

Let's see:

$$23 + 6 = 29$$
 and $3 + 6 = 9$.

This is alright because $29 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$ and so we regard 29 and 9 as the same.

Does this always work? The purpose of the next Lemma is to reassure us that it does.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

lemma 5.8 Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that a, b, u and v are integers such that $a \equiv u \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv v \pmod{n}$.

We prove parts (i) and (iii) here, leaving part (ii) as an exercise.

Lemma 5.8 Let *n* be a positive integer. Suppose that a, b, u and v are integers such that

 $a \equiv u \pmod{n}$

and

 $b \equiv v \pmod{n}$.

Then

- (i) $-a \equiv -u \pmod{n};$
- (ii) $a+b \equiv u+v \pmod{n}$ and
- (iii) $ab \equiv uv \pmod{n}$.

We prove parts (i) and (iii) here, leaving part (ii) as an exercise.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Lemma 5.8 Let *n* be a positive integer. Suppose that a, b, u and v are integers such that

 $a \equiv u \pmod{n}$

and

 $b \equiv v \pmod{n}$.

Then

- (i) $-a \equiv -u \pmod{n}$;
- (ii) $a+b \equiv u+v \pmod{n}$ and
- (iii) $ab \equiv uv \pmod{n}$.

We prove parts (i) and (iii) here, leaving part (ii) as an exercise.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Lemma 5.8 Let *n* be a positive integer. Suppose that a, b, u and v are integers such that

 $a \equiv u \pmod{n}$

and

 $b \equiv v \pmod{n}$.

Then

- (i) $-a \equiv -u \pmod{n}$;
- (ii) $a+b \equiv u+v \pmod{n}$ and
- (iii) $ab \equiv uv \pmod{n}$.

We prove parts (i) and (iii) here, leaving part (ii) as an exercise.

Lemma 5.8 Let *n* be a positive integer. Suppose that a, b, u and v are integers such that

 $a \equiv u \pmod{n}$

and

 $b \equiv v \pmod{n}$.

Then

- (i) $-a \equiv -u \pmod{n}$;
- (ii) $a+b \equiv u+v \pmod{n}$ and
- (iii) $ab \equiv uv \pmod{n}$.

We prove parts (i) and (iii) here, leaving part (ii) as an exercise.

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n|r-s and $n > r \ge r-s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n|r-s and $n > r \ge r-s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n|r-s and $n > r \ge r-s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

<

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n|r-s and $n > r \ge r-s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n | r - s and $n > r \ge r - s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

Every integer is congruent modulo *n* to one and only one of the integers in the list 0, 1, ..., n-1.

Proof.

This follows from the division algorithm because if $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we can write a = nq + r, with $0 \le r < n$.

Then n|a-r so $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and r is in the given list.

If $a \equiv r \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv s \pmod{n}$ then, from the above, $r \equiv s$ with $0 \le r < n$ and $0 \le s < n$.

Assuming that r > s then n | r - s and $n > r \ge r - s$, contradicting Lemma 1.18.3.

In modular arithmetic we can always avoid computation with large numbers.

For example working modulo 10 we have

 $7459898790352045324 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

and

```
9874558754423 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}.
```

Therefore

 $7459898790352045324 \cdot 9874558754423 \equiv 4 \cdot 3 = 12 \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$

In modular arithmetic we can always avoid computation with large numbers.

For example working modulo 10 we have

 $7459898790352045324 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

and

$$9874558754423 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$$
.

Therefore

 $7459898790352045324 \cdot 9874558754423 \equiv 4 \cdot 3 = 12 \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$

In modular arithmetic we can always avoid computation with large numbers.

For example working modulo 10 we have

 $7459898790352045324 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

and

$$9874558754423 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$$
.

Therefore

 $7459898790352045324 \cdot 9874558754423 \equiv 4 \cdot 3 = 12 \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$

In modular arithmetic we can always avoid computation with large numbers.

For example working modulo 10 we have

 $7459898790352045324 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$

and

```
9874558754423 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}.
```

Therefore

 $7459898790352045324 \cdot 9874558754423 \equiv 4 \cdot 3 = 12 \equiv 2 \pmod{10}$.

Similarly, working modulo 7 we have

$4543362 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$.

Therefore

$$4543362^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 25 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

and

 $4543362^3 = 4543362 \cdot 4543362^2 \equiv 5 \cdot 4 \equiv 20 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}.$

▲口▼▲□▼▲目▼▲目▼ 回 ●の4⊙
Similarly, working modulo 7 we have

 $4543362 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$.

Therefore

$$4543362^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 25 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

and

 $4543362^3 = 4543362 \cdot 4543362^2 \equiv 5 \cdot 4 \equiv 20 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}.$

▲口▼▲□▼▲目▼▲目▼ 回 ●の4⊙

Similarly, working modulo 7 we have

 $4543362 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$.

Therefore

$$4543362^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 25 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

and

 $4543362^3 = 4543362 \cdot 4543362^2 \equiv 5 \cdot 4 \equiv 20 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

When we write a number like 20195 to base 10 we are expressing the number

 $2 \times 10^4 + 0 \times 10^3 + 1 \times 10^2 + 9 \times 10^1 + 5$

in shorthand (there's a 1 in the 100's column etc.).

Applying this argument in general we write

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$

for the number

 $a_m \times 10^m + a_{m-1} \times 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \times 10 + a_0.$

As $10^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, for k = 1, ..., m, we have

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}.$ (5.2)

When we write a number like 20195 to base 10 we are expressing the number

 $2 \times 10^4 + 0 \times 10^3 + 1 \times 10^2 + 9 \times 10^1 + 5$

in shorthand (there's a 1 in the 100's column etc.).

Applying this argument in general we write

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$

for the number

 $a_m \times 10^m + a_{m-1} \times 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \times 10 + a_0$

As $10^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, for k = 1, ..., m, we have

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}.$ (5.2)

A D > 4 回 > 4 回 > 4 回 > 1 の Q Q

When we write a number like 20195 to base 10 we are expressing the number

 $2 \times 10^4 + 0 \times 10^3 + 1 \times 10^2 + 9 \times 10^1 + 5$

in shorthand (there's a 1 in the 100's column etc.).

Applying this argument in general we write

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$

for the number

 $a_m \times 10^m + a_{m-1} \times 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \times 10 + a_0.$

As $10^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, for k = 1, ..., m, we have

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}.$ (5.2)

When we write a number like 20195 to base 10 we are expressing the number

 $2 \times 10^4 + 0 \times 10^3 + 1 \times 10^2 + 9 \times 10^1 + 5$

in shorthand (there's a 1 in the 100's column etc.).

Applying this argument in general we write

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$

for the number

 $a_m \times 10^m + a_{m-1} \times 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \times 10 + a_0.$

As $10^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, for k = 1, ..., m, we have

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}.$ (5.2)

When we write a number like 20195 to base 10 we are expressing the number

 $2 \times 10^4 + 0 \times 10^3 + 1 \times 10^2 + 9 \times 10^1 + 5$

in shorthand (there's a 1 in the 100's column etc.).

Applying this argument in general we write

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$

for the number

 $a_m \times 10^m + a_{m-1} \times 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \times 10 + a_0.$

As $10^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, for k = 1, ..., m, we have

 $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}$. (5.2)

Casting out nines again

Suppose we cast out nines (Procedure 5.1) from an integer m.

In Step 1 we cross out any digits which sum to a multiple of 9.

The sum of these digits is congruent to zero modulo 9 so, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

In Step 2 we add the digits and again, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

Suppose we cast out nines (Procedure 5.1) from an integer *m*.

In Step 1 we cross out any digits which sum to a multiple of 9.

The sum of these digits is congruent to zero modulo 9 so, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

In Step 2 we add the digits and again, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

Suppose we cast out nines (Procedure 5.1) from an integer *m*.

In Step 1 we cross out any digits which sum to a multiple of 9.

The sum of these digits is congruent to zero modulo 9 so, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

In Step 2 we add the digits and again, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

Suppose we cast out nines (Procedure 5.1) from an integer *m*.

In Step 1 we cross out any digits which sum to a multiple of 9.

The sum of these digits is congruent to zero modulo 9 so, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to *m* modulo 9.

In Step 2 we add the digits and again, from (5.2), the result is an integer congruent to m modulo 9.

The procedure ends with a number *r* such that $0 \le r < 9$ and $r \equiv m \pmod{9}$.

As 9|m-r, from which it follows that m = 9q + r, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le r < 9$.

That is, the output from Casting out Nines is the unique remainder (guaranteed by the division algorithm) on attempting division of m by 9.

The procedure ends with a number *r* such that $0 \le r < 9$ and $r \equiv m \pmod{9}$.

As 9|m-r, from which it follows that m = 9q + r, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le r < 9$.

That is, the output from Casting out Nines is the unique remainder (guaranteed by the division algorithm) on attempting division of m by 9.

The procedure ends with a number *r* such that $0 \le r < 9$ and $r \equiv m \pmod{9}$.

As 9|m-r, from which it follows that m = 9q + r, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le r < 9$.

That is, the output from Casting out Nines is the unique remainder (guaranteed by the division algorithm) on attempting division of m by 9.

The procedure ends with a number *r* such that $0 \le r < 9$ and $r \equiv m \pmod{9}$.

As 9|m-r, from which it follows that m = 9q + r, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le r < 9$.

That is, the output from Casting out Nines is the unique remainder (guaranteed by the division algorithm) on attempting division of m by 9.

The following lemma follows from (5.2).

Lemma 5.11 An integer is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9.

Example 5.12 Are either of 215763401 or 215743401 divisible by 9?

The following lemma follows from (5.2).

Lemma 5.11 An integer is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Example 5.12 Are either of 215763401 or 215743401 divisible by 9?

The following lemma follows from (5.2).

Lemma 5.11 An integer is divisible by 9 if and only if the sum of its digits is divisible by 9.

Example 5.12 Are either of 215763401 or 215743401 divisible by 9?

Now $10^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Thus, for example, $1932526 = (19325 \times 100) + 26 \equiv 26 \pmod{4}$

and

93975656489084357745565568738675 =

Now $10^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Thus, for example, $1932526 = (19325 \times 100) + 26 \equiv 26 \pmod{4}$

and

93975656489084357745565568738675 =



Now $10^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Thus, for example,

 $1932526 = (19325 \times 100) + 26 \equiv 26 \pmod{4}$

and

93975656489084357745565568738675 = $(939756564890843577455655687386 \times 100) + 75 \equiv 75 \pmod{4}$.

More generally, if $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0$ is an integer written to base 10 then

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 = (a_m \cdots a_2 \times 100) + a_1 a_0 \equiv a_1 a_0 \pmod{4}.$

Therefore

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

That is

 $4|a_m\cdots a_1a_0 \Leftrightarrow 4|a_1a_0.$

More generally, if $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0$ is an integer written to base 10 then

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 = (a_m \cdots a_2 \times 100) + a_1 a_0 \equiv a_1 a_0 \pmod{4}.$

Therefore

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

That is

 $4|a_m\cdots a_1a_0 \Leftrightarrow 4|a_1a_0.$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

More generally, if $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0$ is an integer written to base 10

then

$$a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 = (a_m \cdots a_2 \times 100) + a_1 a_0 \equiv a_1 a_0 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

That is

 $4|a_m\cdots a_1a_0 \Leftrightarrow 4|a_1a_0.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

More generally, if $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0$ is an integer written to base 10

then

$$a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 = (a_m \cdots a_2 \times 100) + a_1 a_0 \equiv a_1 a_0 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

That is

 $4|a_m\cdots a_1a_0 \Leftrightarrow 4|a_1a_0.$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

More generally, if $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0$ is an integer written to base 10

then

$$a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 = (a_m \cdots a_2 \times 100) + a_1 a_0 \equiv a_1 a_0 \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore

 $a_m \cdots a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $a_1 a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

That is

 $4|a_m\cdots a_1a_0 \Leftrightarrow 4|a_1a_0.$

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

If we work in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} we can find a multiplicative inverse for any non-zero element.

For example the inverse of 11/201 is 201/11.

The same is true in \mathbb{R} where the inverse of $x \neq 0$ is 1/x.

In general if x is a number and y has the property that xy = 1 then we say that x has **inverse** y.

Most elements of \mathbb{Z} don't have inverses in \mathbb{Z} . For example 2 has no inverse.

In fact ± 1 are the only elements of \mathbb{Z} which have inverses. What about arithmetic modulo *n*.

Inverses modulo n

Example 5.14 Try to find the inverse of 2 modulo 6.

Example 5.15 Do either 3 or 7 have inverses modulo 10?

Example 5.16 Which numbers have inverses modulo 8?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Inverses modulo n

Example 5.14

Try to find the inverse of 2 modulo 6.

Example 5.15 Do either 3 or 7 have inverses modulo 10?

Example 5.16 Which numbers have inverses modulo 8?

Inverses modulo n

Example 5.14

Try to find the inverse of 2 modulo 6.

Example 5.15 Do either 3 or 7 have inverses modulo 10?

Example 5.16 Which numbers have inverses modulo 8?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Lemma 5.17 An integer a has an inverse modulo n if and only if

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number *p*?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. p|a in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .
gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number p?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. p|a in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number *p*? In this case, for every integer *a* either

1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or 2. plain which case $a = 0 \pmod{p}$

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number *p*?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. p|a in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number p?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. p|a in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number p?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. p|a in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

gcd(a, n) = 1.

What happens if we do arithmetic modulo a prime number p?

In this case, for every integer a either

- 1. $p \nmid a$ in which case gcd(a, p) = 1 or
- 2. $p \mid a$ in which case $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Thus every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo p has an inverse.

In this way arithmetic modulo p resembles arithmetic in \mathbb{Q} more closely that arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} .

Example 5.18

Write out the multiplication table for arithmetic modulo 5 with the integers 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Hence find the inverse of every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo 5.

Example 5.18

Write out the multiplication table for arithmetic modulo 5 with the integers 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Hence find the inverse of every integer which is not congruent to zero modulo 5.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Example 5.19

Find all integers x such that

 $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}. \tag{5.3}$

We call such equations **congruences** and this is an example of a **linear** congruence.

If x = a is a solution and $a \equiv b$ then x = b is also a solution: so if there's one solution there are infinitely many.

Every integer is congruent to one of

0, 1, ..., *n* – 1 modulo *n*

Example 5.19

Find all integers x such that

 $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}. \tag{5.3}$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

We call such equations **congruences** and this is an example of a **linear** congruence.

If x = a is a solution and $a \equiv b$ then x = b is also a solution: so if there's one solution there are infinitely many.

Every integer is congruent to one of

0, 1, ..., *n* – 1 modulo *n*

Example 5.19 Find all integers x such that

 $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}. \tag{5.3}$

We call such equations **congruences** and this is an example of a **linear** congruence.

If x = a is a solution and $a \equiv b$ then x = b is also a solution: so if there's one solution there are infinitely many.

Every integer is congruent to one of

0, 1, ..., *n* – 1 modulo *n*

Example 5.19 Find all integers x such that

 $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}. \tag{5.3}$

We call such equations **congruences** and this is an example of a **linear** congruence.

If x = a is a solution and $a \equiv b$ then x = b is also a solution: so if there's one solution there are infinitely many.

Every integer is congruent to one of

 $0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \mod n$

Exhaustive search

x 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 6x (mod 8)

From the table we see that the only solutions are x = 2 and x = 6.

Cancellation does not always work when solving congruences.

Exhaustive search



From the table we see that the only solutions are x = 2 and x = 6.

Cancellation does not always work when solving congruences.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

Exhaustive search

From the table we see that the only solutions are x = 2 and x = 6.

Cancellation does not always work when solving congruences.



 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if ax - b = ny, for some integer y

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if *ax* – *b* = *ny*, for some integer *y*

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if ax - b = ny, for some integer y

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if ax - b = ny, for some integer y

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if ax - b = ny, for some integer y

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

 $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (5.4)

x is a solution to (5.4) if and only if n|(ax-b)

if and only if ax - b = ny, for some integer y

if and only if ax - ny = b, for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From Theorem 2.5 this has a solution if and only if gcd(a, n)|b.

Therefore, if d = gcd(a, n) then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solutions if and only if d|b.

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

$$y = v - (a/d)t,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

y = v - (a/d)t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

$$y = v - (a/d)t,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

y = v - (a/d)t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

y = v - (a/d)t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

y = v - (a/d)t,

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

If d = gcd(a, n)|b then we can use the Euclidean algorithm to find a particular solution to the equation

 $ax - ny = b. \tag{(*)}$

If d|b and x = u, y = v is a solution to the equation (*)

then the general solution is

x = u - (n/d)t

and

$$y = v - (a/d)t,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So if x = u is a particular solution to (5.4) then the general solutions is

x = u - (n/d)t,

How many of the solutions to congruence (5.4) which we have found are congruent?

If d|b and x = u is one solution to the congruence (5.4)

then the list of solutions to (5.4) consists of the integers of the form

u-(n/d)t,

How many of the solutions to congruence (5.4) which we have found are congruent?

If d|b and x = u is one solution to the congruence (5.4)

then the list of solutions to (5.4) consists of the integers of the form

u-(n/d)t

How many of the solutions to congruence (5.4) which we have found are congruent?

If d|b and x = u is one solution to the congruence (5.4)

then the list of solutions to (5.4) consists of the integers of the form

u-(n/d)t

How many of the solutions to congruence (5.4) which we have found are congruent?

If $d \mid b$ and x = u is one solution to the congruence (5.4)

then the list of solutions to (5.4) consists of the integers of the form

u-(n/d)t,

Theorem 5.20

Let a, b and n be integers with n > 0 and let d = gcd(a, n).

Then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution if and only if d|b.

If d|b then there are exactly d pairwise incongruent solutions.

Example 5.21 Find all solutions to the congruence

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}.$

Example 5.22 Find all solutions to the congruence $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.

Theorem 5.20

Let a, b and n be integers with n > 0 and let d = gcd(a, n).

Then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution if and only if d|b.

If d|b then there are exactly d pairwise incongruent solutions.

Example 5.21 Find all solutions to the congruence

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}.$

Example 5.22 Find all solutions to the congruence $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.

Theorem 5.20

Let a, b and n be integers with n > 0 and let d = gcd(a, n).

Then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution if and only if d|b.

If d|b then there are exactly d pairwise incongruent solutions.

Example 5.21 Find all solutions to the congruence

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

Example 5.22 Find all solutions to the congruence $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.

Theorem 5.20

Let a, b and n be integers with n > 0 and let d = gcd(a, n).

Then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution if and only if d|b.

If *d*|*b* then there are exactly *d* pairwise incongruent solutions.

Example 5.21

Find all solutions to the congruence

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Example 5.22

Find all solutions to the congruence $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.
Summary

Theorem 5.20

Let a, b and n be integers with n > 0 and let d = gcd(a, n).

Then the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution if and only if d|b.

If *d*|*b* then there are exactly *d* pairwise incongruent solutions.

Example 5.21

Find all solutions to the congruence

 $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Example 5.22

Find all solutions to the congruence $6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.

Cancellation again

Example 5.23

Compare the solutions to the congruences

 $2x \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.



In situations where we require random numbers we often wish to give a machine the task of generating these numbers.

In many cases we'd also like the machine to be able to reproduce the sequence of random numbers that it outputs so that we can verify our results.

Such sequences cannot be truly random and are called **pseudo-random**.

Pseudo-random numbers are often generated by computer but this means that we need to find good algorithms to produce them.

In situations where we require random numbers we often wish to give a machine the task of generating these numbers. In many cases we'd also like the machine to be able to reproduce the sequence of random numbers that it outputs so that we can verify our results.

Such sequences cannot be truly random and are called **pseudo-random**.

Pseudo-random numbers are often generated by computer but this means that we need to find good algorithms to produce them.

In situations where we require random numbers we often wish to give a machine the task of generating these numbers. In many cases we'd also like the machine to be able to reproduce the sequence of random numbers that it outputs so that we can verify our results.

Such sequences cannot be truly random and are called **pseudo-random**.

Pseudo-random numbers are often generated by computer but this means that we need to find good algorithms to produce them.

In situations where we require random numbers we often wish to give a machine the task of generating these numbers. In many cases we'd also like the machine to be able to reproduce the sequence of random numbers that it outputs so that we can verify our results.

Such sequences cannot be truly random and are called **pseudo-random**.

Pseudo-random numbers are often generated by computer but this means that we need to find good algorithms to produce them.

In situations where we require random numbers we often wish to give a machine the task of generating these numbers. In many cases we'd also like the machine to be able to reproduce the sequence of random numbers that it outputs so that we can verify our results.

Such sequences cannot be truly random and are called **pseudo-random**.

Pseudo-random numbers are often generated by computer but this means that we need to find good algorithms to produce them.

To generate a sequence of "random looking" integers

 a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots

use the following process.

- 1. Fix a positive number *n* and two integers *m* and *c*, with $2 \le m < n$ and $0 \le c < n$.
- 2. Choose a start value a_0 , such that $0 \le a_0 \le n$.
- 3. Generate elements of the sequence successively using the formula

 $a_{k+1} = ma_k + c \pmod{n}$, where $0 \le a_{k+1} < n$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

To generate a sequence of "random looking" integers

 a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots

use the following process.

- 1. Fix a positive number *n* and two integers *m* and *c*, with $2 \le m < n$ and $0 \le c < n$.
- 2. Choose a start value a_0 , such that $0 \le a_0 \le n$.
- 3. Generate elements of the sequence successively using the formula

 $a_{k+1} = ma_k + c \pmod{n}$, where $0 \le a_{k+1} < n$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

To generate a sequence of "random looking" integers

 a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots

use the following process.

- 1. Fix a positive number *n* and two integers *m* and *c*, with $2 \le m < n$ and $0 \le c < n$.
- 2. Choose a start value a_0 , such that $0 \le a_0 \le n$.
- 3. Generate elements of the sequence successively using the formula

 $a_{k+1} = ma_k + c \pmod{n}$, where $0 \le a_{k+1} < n$.

To generate a sequence of "random looking" integers

 a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots

use the following process.

- 1. Fix a positive number *n* and two integers *m* and *c*, with $2 \le m < n$ and $0 \le c < n$.
- 2. Choose a start value a_0 , such that $0 \le a_0 \le n$.
- 3. Generate elements of the sequence successively using the formula

 $a_{k+1} = ma_k + c \pmod{n}$, where $0 \le a_{k+1} < n$.

To generate a sequence of "random looking" integers

 a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots

use the following process.

- 1. Fix a positive number *n* and two integers *m* and *c*, with $2 \le m < n$ and $0 \le c < n$.
- 2. Choose a start value a_0 , such that $0 \le a_0 \le n$.
- 3. Generate elements of the sequence successively using the formula

 $a_{k+1} = ma_k + c \pmod{n}$, where $0 \le a_{k+1} < n$.

With n = 800, m = 71, c = 57, and $a_0 = 2$ the first ten elements of the sequence are

2,199,586,63,530,87,634,271,98,615.

Now altering a_0 to 551 the sequence produced is

551,778,95,402,599,186,463,130,487,234.

Keeping everything fixed except n = 8000 we obtain

551,7178,5695,4402,599,2586,7663,130,1287,3434.With n = 40, m = 22, c = 20 and $a_0 = 13$ we obtain

13, 26, 32, 4, 28, 36, 12, 4, 28, 36, 12.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

With n = 800, m = 71, c = 57, and $a_0 = 2$ the first ten elements of the sequence are

2,199,586,63,530,87,634,271,98,615.

Now altering a_0 to 551 the sequence produced is

551,778,95,402,599,186,463,130,487,234.

Keeping everything fixed except n = 8000 we obtain

551,7178,5695,4402,599,2586,7663,130,1287,3434.With n = 40, m = 22, c = 20 and $a_0 = 13$ we obtain

13, 26, 32, 4, 28, 36, 12, 4, 28, 36, 12.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

・

</

With n = 800, m = 71, c = 57, and $a_0 = 2$ the first ten elements of the sequence are

2,199,586,63,530,87,634,271,98,615.

Now altering a_0 to 551 the sequence produced is

551,778,95,402,599,186,463,130,487,234.

Keeping everything fixed except n = 8000 we obtain

551,7178,5695,4402,599,2586,7663,130,1287,3434. With n = 40, m = 22, c = 20 and $a_0 = 13$ we obtain

13, 26, 32, 4, 28, 36, 12, 4, 28, 36, 12.

With n = 800, m = 71, c = 57, and $a_0 = 2$ the first ten elements of the sequence are

2,199,586,63,530,87,634,271,98,615.

Now altering a_0 to 551 the sequence produced is

551,778,95,402,599,186,463,130,487,234.

Keeping everything fixed except n = 8000 we obtain

551,7178,5695,4402,599,2586,7663,130,1287,3434.With n = 40, m = 22, c = 20 and $a_0 = 13$ we obtain

13, 26, 32, 4, 28, 36, 12, 4, 28, 36, 12.

Theorem 5.25

The kth term of the sequence generated by the process above is

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k = \left(m^k \boldsymbol{a}_0 + \frac{\boldsymbol{c}(m^k - 1)}{(m - 1)} \right) \pmod{n},$$

with $0 \leq a_k < n$.

Analysis of "how random" a pseudo-random sequence is involves applying statistical tests to the sequence. For instance the frequency of occurence of a particular integer in the sequence can be tested;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Theorem 5.25

The *kth* term of the sequence generated by the process above is

$$a_k = \left(m^k a_0 + \frac{c(m^k - 1)}{(m - 1)}\right) \pmod{n},$$

with $0 \leq a_k < n$.

Analysis of "how random" a pseudo-random sequence is involves applying statistical tests to the sequence. For instance the frequency of occurence of a particular integers in the sequence can be tested;

Theorem 5.25

The *kth* term of the sequence generated by the process above is

$$a_k = \left(m^k a_0 + \frac{c(m^k - 1)}{(m - 1)}\right) \pmod{n},$$

with $0 \leq a_k < n$.

Analysis of "how random" a pseudo-random sequence is involves applying statistical tests to the sequence.

For instance the frequency of occurence of a particular integers in the sequence can be tested;

Theorem 5.25

The *kth* term of the sequence generated by the process above is

$$a_k = \left(m^k a_0 + \frac{c(m^k - 1)}{(m - 1)}\right) \pmod{n},$$

with $0 \leq a_k < n$.

Analysis of "how random" a pseudo-random sequence is involves applying statistical tests to the sequence. For instance the frequency of occurence of a particular integers in the sequence can be tested;

Theorem 5.25

The *kth* term of the sequence generated by the process above is

$$a_k = \left(m^k a_0 + \frac{c(m^k - 1)}{(m - 1)}\right) \pmod{n},$$

with $0 \leq a_k < n$.

Analysis of "how random" a pseudo-random sequence is involves applying statistical tests to the sequence.

For instance the frequency of occurence of a particular integers in the sequence can be tested;

Objectives

After covering this chapter of the course you should be able to:

- (i) recall the definition of congruence;
- (ii) recall the statement of Lemma 5.8 and understand its proof;
- (iii) do arithmetic modulo n;
- (iv) understand how various divisibility tests work and be able to apply them;
- (v) decide whether or not an integer has an inverse modulo n;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

(vi) generate a sequence of random looking numbers.